

From: Allen, Meredith  
Sent: 12/17/2013 10:50:08 PM  
To: Sterkel, Merideth Molly (MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Dietrich, William (william.dietrich@cpuc.ca.gov); Borak, Mary Jo (maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov); Mulligan, Jack M. (jack.mulligan@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: HSR Meeting

Molly,

Sounds good. I will figure out the right people to have in the room from PG&E for the application/tariffs meeting and will work with Bill on scheduling a preliminary meeting in January at the CPUC.

On the February meeting, I will coordinate with HSR and send you proposed dates for a meeting. I agree that we need more discussion on the timeline.

I also will send the list of follow ups to the group tomorrow.

Thanks again for suggesting the meeting. I think it was very helpful to discuss the critical path items to move the work forward and the related timelines.

Meredith

On Dec 17, 2013, at 4:21 PM, "Sterkel, Merideth "Molly""  
<MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Meredith,

Thanks for organizing and hosting the meeting yesterday. I'd like to set up a follow-up meeting for February where we review the timelines for Engineering, PEA development, CPUC Application, and Construction. Given what we heard yesterday, it doesn't sound like 5 years is long enough, so I'd like to revisit that question after you've had enough time to get your contract with HSR signed and your phase 1 study started.

Also, I'm confused as to what Application for Service HSR needs to fill out. And I'd like to set up a meeting with PG&E's new customer services team to initiate discussions about what CPUC and FERC jurisdictional tariffs will apply to HSR service. Rule 15 only applies to under 50 kV, so I'm not sure who would be the contact person. It seems somewhat obvious that PG&E will need to file for approval of special conditions which deviate from standard service agreements. Who at PG&E should we begin conversations with? I'd like to have a preliminary conversation in January since I think the cost vs. cost

responsibility piece of the PG&E work is extremely unclear to HSR.

Also, I have the sign-in sheet, I'll try to get you a copy tomorrow.

Molly

Molly Tirpak Sterkel  
California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch

T: 415-703-1873, E: [mts@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:mts@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Allen, Meredith [<mailto:MEAe@pge.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 10:24 AM  
**To:** Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"  
**Subject:** HSR Meeting

- **Goal:**
  - Coordinate on how PG&E and the California High Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) will proceed with CEQA Review of interconnection facilities needed for project.
- **Agenda:**
  - CHSRA
  - Introductions: Key Staff Roles and Responsibilities
  - Overview of schedule associated with energization of various HSR segments
  - High level description of critical path activities necessary to meet energization schedule
  - Overview of CEQA review for each segment (i.e. what has been completed, what is in progress, what is schedule for remaining segments)

- PG&E
  - Introductions: Interconnection and CEQA Permitting Teams
  - Recap of interconnection studies completed to date
  - Remaining items to finalize interconnection project descriptions
  - CEQA Review: Interconnection Facilities with Various Rail Segments
- Review of Action Items and Next Steps

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>