```
> On Dec 20, 2013, at 5:25 PM, "Borak, Mary Jo" <maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:
> Meredith
> Thanks for the update on Kate and big bouncing boy Robert James!!!
> Happy Holidays
> Mary Jo
> Mary Jo Borak
> Supervisor
> Infrastructure Permitting and CEQA
> Energy Division
> California Public Utilities Commission
> 505 Van Ness Avenue
> San Francisco, CA 94102
> 415 703-1333
> bor@cpuc.ca.gov
> ----Original Message-----
> From: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"
> Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 4:28 PM
> To: Allen, Meredith
> Cc: Borak, Mary Jo
> Subject: RE: HSR Meeting
> Oh! Thanks for that great news. My rule 15 and 16 people tell me that it probably won't apply to HSR...
so I am completely lost... what does apply to them?
> Molly
> Molly Tirpak Sterkel
> California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and
Permitting Branch
> T: 415-703-1873, E: mts@cpuc.ca.gov
> ----Original Message-----
> From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
> Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 4:14 PM
> To: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"
> Subject: Re: HSR Meeting
> Molly,
> Will do. Also, found out this morning that Kate's baby arrived on Tuesday night. Robert James is 9lbs
6oz and 21.5 inches. They came home from the hospital late yesterday and are both doing very well.
> She promised that she would send pictures soon.
> I hope you have a wonderful holidays.
> Meredith
>> On Dec 19, 2013, at 10:49 AM, "Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" <MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov>
wrote:
>>
>> Meredith.
>> Once you figure out the Rule 15/16 contact information and meeting -- we will need to include Arthur
```

```
O'Donnell's team in that meeting.
>> Molly
>>
>> Molly Tirpak Sterkel
>> California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division Program
>> Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch
>> T: 415-703-1873. E: mts@cpuc.ca.gov
>>
>> Molly Tirpak Sterkel
>> California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division Program
>> Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch
>> T: 415-703-1873, E: mts@cpuc.ca.gov
>>
>>
>> ----Original Message-----
>> From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
>> Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 10:50 PM
>> To: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"
>> Cc: Borak, Mary Jo: Dietrich, William: Mulligan, Jack M.
>> Subject: Re: HSR Meeting
>>
>> Molly,
>>
>> Sounds good. I will figure out the right people to have in the room from PG&E for the application/tariffs
meeting and will work with Bill on scheduling a preliminary meeting in January at the CPUC.
>> On the February meeting, I will coordinate with HSR and send you proposed dates for a meeting, I
agree that we need more discussion on the timeline.
>> I also will send the list of follow ups to the group tomorrow.
>> Thanks again for suggesting the meeting. I think it was very helpful to discuss the critical path items to
move the work forward and the related timelines.
>>
>> Meredith
>>
>> On Dec 17, 2013, at 4:21 PM, "Sterkel, Merideth "Molly""
<MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov>> wrote:
>>
>> Meredith,
>> Thanks for organizing and hosting the meeting yesterday. I'd like to set up a follow-up meeting for
February where we review the timelines for Engineering, PEA development, CPUC Application, and
Construction. Given what we heard yesterday, it doesn't sound like 5 years is long enough, so I'd like to
revisit that question after you've had enough time to get your contract with HSR signed and your phase 1
study started.
>>
>> Also, I'm confused as to what Application for Service HSR needs to fill out. And I'd like to set up a
meeting with PG&E's new customer services team to initiate discussions about what CPUC and FERC
jurisdictional tariffs will apply to HSR service. Rule 15 only applies to under 50 kV, so I'm not sure who
would be the contact person. It seems somewhat obvious that PG&E will need to file for approval of
special conditions which deviate from standard service agreements. Who at PG&E should we begin
conversations with? I'd like to have a preliminary conversation in January since I think the cost vs. cost
responsibility piece of the PG&E work is extremely unclear to HSR.
>> Also, I have the sign-in sheet, I'll try to get you a copy tomorrow.
>> Molly
```

```
>>
>> Molly Tirpak Sterkel
>> California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division Program
>> Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch
>> T: 415-703-1873, E: mts@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:mts@cpuc.ca.gov>
>>
>> From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
>> Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 10:24 AM
>> To: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"
>> Subject: HSR Meeting
>>
>>
>> *
          Goal:
         Coordinate on how PG&E and the California High Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) will proceed
>> -
with CEQA Review of interconnection facilities needed for project.
         Agenda:
>> -
        CHSRA
>> *
          Introductions: Key Staff Roles and Responsibilities
>> *
          Overview of schedule associated with energization of various HSR segments
          High level description of critical path activities necessary to meet energization schedule
>> *
          Overview of CEQA review for each segment (i.e. what has been completed, what is in
progress, what is schedule for remaining segments)
         PG&E
>> *
          Introductions: Interconnection and CEQA Permitting Teams
>> *
          Recap of interconnection studies completed to date
>> *
          Remaining items to finalize interconnection project descriptions
          CEQA Review: Interconnection Facilities with Various Rail Segments
>> *
         Review of Action Items and Next Steps
>> -
>>
>>
>>
>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
>> To learn more, please visit
>> http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/
>>
>>
>>
>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
>> To learn more, please visit
>> http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/
> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
> To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/
```