

From: SR

Sent: 12/23/2013 12:43:35 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: Kress, Michael A (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MAKn);
Johnson, Aaron (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=AJJ9); Gleicher, Cliff
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJGf); Disse, Greg (ET)
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=GLD0); Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1); Thalman, Jon Eric
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JETg); Eisenman, Eric
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EXE3); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; Jeung, Gary P
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=GPJ1); Woerner, Bob
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=R1WA1); Halperin, Dan
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DMHq); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; [Redacted]
[Redacted]; Chaset, Nicolas L.
(nicolas.chaset@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted] fgobar@danlincorp.com
(fgobar@danlincorp.com)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: PowerTree Projects 0731-WD [Redacted] and 0732-WD [Redacted] -
Battery Storage Technology Projects Response per November 27, 2013
Communication

Hello,

Thank you for this. We will be reviewing and submitting the NEMV and EV meter applications asap.

We will reply on any open items or discussion we identify from the letter. That said, we not immediately from a quick reading that we

a) do not see any change from the prior pge position from unidirectional billing to netting of energy for the storage on 15 to 60 minute intervals under Schedule S as was discussed and I understood agreed to with Nicolas Chaset, myself and representatives of PGE last Thursday. I believe this position paper needs to be updated to reflect that discussion. Further the companies had agreed in our meeting to jointly approach CAISO to harmonize the billing intervals.

b) do not agree that the EV rate schedule is inapplicable as our locations are all in multi-unit residential properties which are eligible for EV schedule treatment.

Stacey Reineccius
Powertree Services Inc.

On Fri, Dec 20, 2013 at 4:57 PM, [Redacted] wrote:

Mr. Reineccius,

Attached, please find PG&E's response to your November 27th inquiry regarding PG&E's interconnection and treatment of PowerTree's:

- 1) Proposed Photovoltaic Generating Facility,
- 2) Proposed Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE), and
- 3) Request for the interconnection and participation in the wholesale market of its proposed storage system.

Moving forward, in order to facilitate your EVSE electric service request, the interconnection of the PV system, and the interconnection of these two storage device(s), [Redacted] has been designated as your PG&E single point of contact for the entire interconnection process. Gerald's contact information is as follows:

[Redacted]

For your reference, links to the necessary applications are included below.

- [\[Redacted\] NEMV Application \(Form 79-1131\)](#)
- [\[Redacted\] EV Application](#)
- o [Step By Step Preparation for EV](#)

- Retail NB Application for Storage Load

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Redact or myself directly.

Thanks,

Redacted

Redacted

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit
<http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>