

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Sent: 12/28/2013 11:53:53 AM
To: damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov (damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov); cem.turhal@cpuc.ca.gov (cem.turhal@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: CES-21

Our plan is to file a supplemental AL that is consistent with SB 96 and supersedes the original filing in its entirety. We plan to file by January 20th. This will make our original filing moot. Please let me know if you have concerns with this approach.

----- Original Message -----

From: Franz, Damon A. [mailto:damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2013 04:26 PM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Turhal, Cem <cem.turhal@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: CES-21

Hey Erik-

Did you guys ever withdraw AL 3379G/4215E (the CES-21 Research Plan)? We still have it listed as an open Advice Letter in our system, but it's pretty much moot now as a result of AB 96. Given that it was superseded by Legislation, its probably more appropriate to do a withdrawal than a rejection.

Damon

-----Original Message-----

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2013 1:54 PM
To: Franz, Damon A.; Lee, Audrey
Subject: CES-21

Audrey and Damon,

The 3 IOUs would like to schedule a meeting with you in mid November to discuss our joint Dec 1 report. Do you have any availability on Friday, Nov 15th?

Erik

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>