

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 12/30/2013 2:37:26 PM  
To: Chaset, Nicolas L. (nicolas.chaset@cpuc.ca.gov); sr (sreineccius@gmail.com)  
Kress, Michael A (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MAKn);  
Cc: [Redacted] Gleicher, Cliff  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJGf); Disse, Greg (ET)  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=GLD0); Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1); Thalman, Jon Eric  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JETg); Eisenman, Eric  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EXE2); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Jeung, Gary P  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=GPJ1); Woerner, Bob  
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=R1WA1); Halperin, Dan  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DMHq); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted] fgobar@danlincorp.com  
(fgobar@danlincorp.com)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: PowerTree Projects 0731-WD 39.200 Rose Street and 0732-WD 40.361 14th St. -  
Battery Storage Technology Projects Response per November 27, 2013  
Communication

Nick

Stacey,

Per our discussion on December 19<sup>th</sup>, PG&E is exploring the applicability of Schedule S to PowerTree's Battery Storage wholesale generation project. While PG&E is considering this option, we need to vet this proposal through additional internal stakeholders. I am working to set up an internal meeting to review next week and will keep you apprised of our progress.

We discussed approaching the CAISO, if the Schedule S rate structure and 15 minute netting turn out to be the appropriate arrangement for PowerTree's storage projects, to determine CAISO's ability to adjust from a 60 minute to 15 minute market interval. Since our discussion, it has come to my attention that CAISO is leading a stakeholder process to implement a 15 minute scheduling option in compliance with FERC Order 764. This may be an appropriate forum for this discussion. I have included a link below for your reference.

<http://www.caiso.com/informed/Pages/StakeholderProcesses/FERCOrderNo764MarketChanges>.

Once I have had a chance to review the Schedule S proposal with the responsible PG&E stakeholders, I will set up another meeting with you to discuss. In the meantime, please feel free to give me a call with any questions.

Thanks,

Redacted

---

Redacted

Manager,

**Electric Generation Interconnection - Operations**

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

**From:** Chaset, Nicolas L. [mailto:nicolas.chaset@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Monday, December 30, 2013 1:00 PM

**To:** Redacted

**Cc:** Disse, Greg (ET); Redacted Gleicher, Cliff; Kress, Michael A; Woerner, Bob; Thalman, Jon Eric; Redacted Jeung, Gary P; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Eisenman, Eric; Halperin, Dan; Redacted

Redacted Arthur K; fgobar@danlincorp.com

**Subject:** RE: PowerTree Projects 0731-WD 39.200 Rose Street and 0732-WD 40.361 14th St. - Battery Storage Technology Projects Response per November 27, 2013 Communication

All

I wanted to check in to see where things stand after our meeting two weeks ago on the topic of Schedule S. From what I understand, PGE has not communicated in writing that the Powertree projects can take Schedule S, there is consensus that it will be applicable to these projects after internal review is completed.

Nicolas Chaset

Special Advisor for Distributed Energy Resources

Office of Governor Edmund G Brown, Jr

California Public Utilities Commission

Email: [Nicolas.chaset@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Nicolas.chaset@cpuc.ca.gov)

Phone: 510 219 2121

**From:** sr [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 23, 2013 12:44 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** Disse, Greg (ET); [Redacted] Gleicher, Cliff; Kress, Michael A; Woerner, Bob; Thalman, Jon  
Eric; [Redacted] Jeung, Gary P; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Eisenman, Eric; Halperin, Dan; [Redacted]  
[Redacted] fgobar@danlincorp.com; Chaset, Nicolas L.  
**Subject:** Re: PowerTree Projects 0731-WD 39.200 Rose Street and 0732-WD 40.361 14th St. - Battery Storage Technology Projects Response per November 27, 2013 Communication

Hello,

Thank you for this. We will be reviewing and submitting the NEMV and EV meter applications asap.

We will reply on any open items or discussion we identify from the letter. That said, we not immediately from a quick reading that we

a) do not see any change from the prior pge position from unidirectional billing to netting of energy for the storage on 15 to 60 minute intervals under Schedule S as was discussed and I

understood agreed to with Nicolas Chaset, myself and representatives of PGE last Thursday. I believe this position paper needs to be updated to reflect that discussion. Further the companies had agreed in our meeting to jointly approach CAISO to harmonize the billing intervals.

b) do not agree that the EV rate schedule is inapplicable as our locations are all in multi-unit residential properties which are eligible for EV schedule treatment.

Stacey Reineccius

Powertree Services Inc.

On Fri, Dec 20, 2013 at 4:57 PM, [Redacted] > wrote:

Mr. Reineccius,

Attached, please find PG&E's response to your November 27th inquiry regarding PG&E's interconnection and treatment of PowerTree's:

- 1) Proposed Photovoltaic Generating Facility,
- 2) Proposed Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE), and
- 3) Request for the interconnection and participation in the wholesale market of its proposed storage system.

Moving forward, in order to facilitate your EVSE electric service request, the interconnection of the PV system, and the interconnection of these two storage device(s), [Redacted] has been designated as your PG&E single point of contact for the entire interconnection process. [Redacted] contact information is as follows:

[Redacted]

EGI Sr. Interconnection Manager

Redacted

For your reference, links to the necessary applications are included below.

- [NEMV Application \(Form 79-1131\)](#)
- [EV Application](#)
- [Step By Step Preparation for EV](#)
- [Retail NB Application for Storage Load](#)

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact [Redac](#) or myself directly.

Thanks,

[Redacte](#)

---

Redacted

Manager,

**Electric Generation Interconnection - Operations**

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>