

From: Brown, Carol A.  
Sent: 1/29/2014 7:55:53 PM  
To: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: R11 02 019, Courtesy Notification Regarding an Over-Pressure Event on the Suction Piping at Topock Compressor Station

Thanks. Routine and transparent! !!

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "Doll, Laura"  
Date: 01/29/2014 4:54 PM (GMT-08:00)  
To: "Brown, Carol A."  
Subject: FW: R11 02 019, Courtesy Notification Regarding an Over-Pressure Event on the Suction Piping at Topock Compressor Station

Hi Carol –

Just wanted to make you aware that we provided this courtesy notification today to parties in the rulemaking. We had a brief overpressure at the Topock Station on January 10. As Alex Vallejo notes below, this occurrence was below the reporting threshold, but we are sharing it in the interest of more transparent reporting about the operation of transmission lines that have been subject to previous Commission decisions. Let me be clear – there is no big discovery here, just an operating event.

We are trying to determine what kind of information may be useful to Commissioners going forward, so please let me know if you have feedback about getting this type of notice.

Thanks

Laura

From: Redacted  
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2014 2:56 PM  
To: RegRelCPUCCases

**Cc:** Vallejo, Alejandro (Law)

**Subject:** R11 02 019, Courtesy Notification Regarding an Over-Pressure Event on the Suction Piping at Topock Compressor Station

In consultation with ALJ Bushey, PG&E is providing the attached "Courtesy Notification Regarding an Over-Pressure Event on the Suction Piping at Topock Compressor Station," which PG&E transmitted to SED on January 21, 2014. As explained in the notification, this 11-minute pressure excursion that occurred on January 10, 2014 exceeded the Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) by 6%, which is below the PHMSA and CPUC reporting threshold; PG&E is providing this courtesy notification because these station facilities were subject to Decision 11-10-010 in this proceeding. A copy of that decision is also attached for reference. As noted in the decision, PG&E tested the subject piping to pressures greater than 1.5 times the current MAOP.

Redacted, on behalf of  
Alejandro Vallejo  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

If you have any difficulty opening the attachment(s), please notify Redacted Redacted  
fax Redacted

NOTE: The recipient portion of this e-mail may not reflect all the addressees who are being served. The service list has been split into 50-addressee groups, to avoid rejection by CPUC and other e-mail servers.

Please note that the PG&E law department does not maintain the official service list for docket no. R11 02 019. If you would no longer like to receive documents regarding this docket, please contact the CPUC Process Office directly via email at [Process\\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov) or by phone at 415-703-2021 to remove yourself from the official service list.

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>