From:	Redacted]	
Sent:	1/16/2014 11:27:27 AM	-	
	Redacted		
To:			jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov
_ •	(jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov); P	itcock, Maril	,
	(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=)); Dietz, Sidney
	(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=)	Recipients/cn=SBD4);	Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
	(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=)	Recipients/cn=MAGq	Redacted
	Redacted		
	Redacted		; ELIZABETH
	KELLY (Ekelly@MarinEnergy		
	(dweisz@marinenergy.com) (dw		om); Greg Morse
	(gmorse@mcecleanenergy.com)		
	Redacted);	; Pol Sandro-Yepes (psandro-
	yepes@noblesolutions.com)		
Cc:			
Bcc:			
	:: LIB Functionality for MCE OB	P Defining the project	at .
•	•		
Updated	l: CR 3002 for PG&E folks, MOU	attached	
<<>>			
A11-			
7 111-			
Per Becl	kie's suggestion, let's have a check	k in call to discuss the	MCE proposal to modify the
	reement (updated version to be ser		
_	ettached).) p
The goa	l of this meeting with be to discus-	s these two approaches	s as a way to clearly define the
_	work of our effort to enable LIB		
-	or your time:		ŕ
	•		
Call: Red	dacted		
Mtg nun	nber Redacted		
Dodact			
Redact ed			
Dodasta			
Redacte			

Attached is the OBR Agreement between PG&E and MCE with MCE's proposed edits. We have taken a first stab at making the minimal amendments we think are necessary to characterize the non-customer component, however there remains a few procedural points that I think it would be best for Pol and / or PG&E to take a first stab at filling out. These are highlighted in the attached. Please also note that we have changed MEA with MCE throughout and these changes are not tracked.

Would it make sense to schedule a quick check in call for tomorrow to discuss these proposed edits and see if this fulfills the purpose?

Thanks,
-Beckie
On Wed, Jan 15, 2014 at 5:03 PM, Redacted wrote:
All-

Per our meeting last week and next steps below, attached is our DRAFT MOU for implementing the LIB technology for non-MCE customers in MCE service territory. As discussed, the purpose of this document is to clearly define the scope of work included in our effort to enable LIB for MCE.

In addition, Dawn and I spoke on Monday and she proposed making a modification to the existing OBR Billing Agreement (executed October 8) for the same purpose instead of using an MOU. Once we get the MCE proposed language, PG&E can also evaluate this as an option.

In the meantime, we would ask that MCE please provide feedback on the attached MOU, and hopefully it will meet everyone's expectations so we can move forward.

In addition, please see the updated timeline below:

- January 15th PG&E sends MEA MOU
 - January 22nd Execute MOU

- January 31st submit Advice Letter to ED (coordinate with ED for fast track approval)
- First quarter MCE added as a vendor
- March 2014- MEA OBR for non-MCE customers goes live

Thanks again for everyone's participation, please let me know if you have any questions.

Redacted
Redacted Pacific Gas and Electric Company Office: Redacted Cellula Email:
From: Eberhardt (ESP Services), Eric Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 2:37 PM To: 'iean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov'; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Redacted Redac; Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Beckie Menten; Gandesbery, Mary (Law); Greg Morse; Dietz, Sidney; Redacted ; Pol Sandro-Yepes Subject: LIB Functionality for MCE OBR Next Steps
All-
Just to confirm next steps we discussed today on the call, please see below and let me know if I missed anything:
-PG&E will send a draft MOU to the group for review by 1/15 or earlier

-In parallel PG&E will start preparation of the Advice Letter for submission after MOU

execution

-PG&E will sen	nd a high level project timeline to Jean and the group by 1/15 or earlier			
Thanks for everyone's participation. We appreciate your help ensuring everyone is on the same page moving forward.				
Redacted	Principal Account Manager			
	Electric Company			
Office: Redacted				
Cellula				
Email:				