

From: Lamming, Jean A.  
Sent: 1/22/2014 11:18:41 AM  
Eberhardt (ESP Services), Eric  
To: (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=ERE7); Pitcock, Maril  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MxWL); Dietz, Sidney  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Gandesbery, Mary (Law)  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]; ELIZABETH  
KELLY (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com) (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com); DAWN WEISZ  
(dweisz@marinenergy.com) (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Greg Morse  
(gmorse@mcecleanenergy.com); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]; Pol Sandro-Yepes (psandro-  
yepes@noblesolutions.com)  
Cc: Beckie Menten (bmenten@marinenergy.com) (bmenten@marinenergy.com)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: LIB Functionality for MCE OBR - Defining the project

Hi Eric,

Would PG&E allow the CPUC and MCE to review the draft Advice Letter before filing it? I ask because it could save time in doing supplements, etc.

Also, I know there was a rough cost estimate for this one-time IT change but don't remember it. Could you remind me?

Thanks so much,

Jean

---

From: Eberhardt (ESP Services), Eric [ERE7@pge.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 11:10 AM  
To: Lamming, Jean A.; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Eansor, Maria P; [Redacted] Pitcock,  
Maril; Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Gandesbery, Mary (Law); Greg Morse; Dietz, Sidney; [Redact  
Red] Pol Sandro-Yepes; [Redacted]

Cc: Beckie Menten (bmenten@marinenergy.com)  
Subject: RE: LIB Functionality for MCE OBR - Defining the project

All-

Just wanted to provide an update- per Jean's suggestion we now have PG&E management approval to move forward with filing the Advice Letter to request CPUC approval to fund the OBR IT work enabling billing for non-MCE customers without a separate MOU.

We are working to draft the letter and will keep the group updated on status. Thanks-

Eric

Redacted  
Principal Account Manager  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Eberhardt (ESP Services), Eric

Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 12:17 PM

To: Eberhardt (ESP Services), Eric; iean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com);

Redacted Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Redacted

Redacted; Dietz, Sidney; Redacted Pol Sandro-Yepes

Cc: Beckie Menten (bmenten@marinenergy.com)

Subject: LIB Functionality for MCE OBR - Defining the project

When: Friday, January 17, 2014 11:00 AM-11:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Conf call: (866) 590-3642 mtg number \*4159737844\* - PG&E CR 3002

Updated: CR 3002 for PG&E folks, MOU attached

-----  
<< File: MEA MOU re LIB.doc >>

All-

Per Beckie's suggestion, let's have a check in call to discuss the MCE proposal to modify the OBR agreement (updated version to be sent out today by Beckie) and the proposed PG&E MOU (attached).

The goal of this meeting will be to discuss these two approaches as a way to clearly define the scope of work of our effort to enable LIB for MCE. Please use the call in number below, thanks for your time:

Call: (866) 590-3642

Mtg number \*4159737844\*

Eric

-----  
Eric;

Attached is the OBR Agreement between PG&E and MCE with MCE's proposed edits. We have taken a first stab at making the minimal amendments we think are necessary to characterize the non-customer component, however there remains a few procedural points that I think it would be best for Pol and / or PG&E to take a first stab at filling out. These are highlighted in the attached. Please also note that we have changed MEA with MCE throughout and these changes are not tracked.

Would it make sense to schedule a quick check in call for tomorrow to discuss these proposed edits and see if this fulfills the purpose?

Thanks,  
-Beckie

On Wed, Jan 15, 2014 at 5:03 PM, [Redacted]

wrote:

All-

Per our meeting last week and next steps below, attached is our DRAFT MOU for implementing the LIB technology for non-MCE customers in MCE service territory. As discussed, the purpose of this document is to clearly define the scope of work included in our effort to enable LIB for MCE.

In addition, Dawn and I spoke on Monday and she proposed making a modification to the existing OBR Billing Agreement (executed October 8) for the same purpose instead of using an MOU. Once we get the MCE proposed language, PG&E can also evaluate this as an option.

In the meantime, we would ask that MCE please provide feedback on the attached MOU, and hopefully it will meet everyone's expectations so we can move forward.

In addition, please see the updated timeline below:

- January 15th – PG&E sends MEA MOU
- January 22nd – Execute MOU
- January 31st – submit Advice Letter to ED – (coordinate with ED for fast track approval)
- First quarter – MCE added as a vendor
- March 2014- MEA OBR for non-MCE customers goes live

Thanks again for everyone's participation, please let me know if you have any questions.

Eric

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 2:37 PM

To: 'jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov<mailto:jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov>'; Dawn Weisz

(dweisz@marinenergy.com<mailto:dweisz@marinenergy.com>); [Redacted]

Maril; Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com<mailto:ekelly@marinenergy.com>); Beckie Menten;

[Redacted] Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted], Matt; Pol Sandro-Yepes

Subject: LIB Functionality for MCE OBR Next Steps

All-

Just to confirm next steps we discussed today on the call, please see below and let me know if I missed anything:

- PG&E will send a draft MOU to the group for review by 1/15 or earlier
- In parallel PG&E will start preparation of the Advice Letter for submission after MOU execution
- PG&E will send a high level project timeline to Jean and the group by 1/15 or earlier

Thanks for everyone's participation. We appreciate your help ensuring everyone is on the same page moving forward.

Redacted

---

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

---