From: Redacted

Sent: 1/16/2014 11:27:27 AM

To: Redacted
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=ERE7); jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov
(jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov); Pitcock, Maril
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MxWL); Dietz, Sidney
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq) Redacted
Redacted
Redacted ; ELIZABETH
KELLY (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com) (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com); DAWN WEISZ
(dweisz@marinenergy.com) (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Greg Morse
(gmorse@mcecleanenergy.com); Redacted
Redacted ; Pol Sandro-Yepes (psandro-
yepes@noblesolutions.com)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: LIB Functionality for MCE OBR - Defining the project
Updated: CR 3002 for PG&E folks, MOU attached

<<...>>

All-

Per Beckie's suggestion, let's have a check in call to discuss the MCE proposal to modify the
OBR agreement (updated version to be sent out today by Beckie) and the proposed PG&E
MOU (attached).

The goal of this meeting with be to discuss these two approaches as a way to clearly define the
scope of work of our effort to enable LIB for MCE. Please use the call in number below,
thanks for your time:

Call: Redacted

Mtg number Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Attached is the OBR Agreement between PG&E and MCE with MCE's proposed edits. We have taken a first stab at making the minimal amendments we think are necessary to characterize the non-customer component, however there remains a few procedural points that I think it would be best for PoI and / or PG&E to take a first stab at filling out. These are highlighted in the attached. Please also note that we have changed MEA with MCE throughout and these changes are not tracked.

Would it make sense to schedule a quick check in call for tomorrow to discuss these proposed edits and see if this fulfills the purpose?

Thanks,

-Beckie

On Wed, Jan 15, 2014 at 5:03 PM, Redacted wrote:

All-

Per our meeting last week and next steps below, attached is our DRAFT MOU for implementing the LIB technology for non-MCE customers in MCE service territory. As discussed, the purpose of this document is to clearly define the scope of work included in our effort to enable LIB for MCE.

In addition, Dawn and I spoke on Monday and she proposed making a modification to the existing OBR Billing Agreement (executed October 8) for the same purpose instead of using an MOU. Once we get the MCE proposed language, PG&E can also evaluate this as an option.

In the meantime, we would ask that MCE please provide feedback on the attached MOU, and hopefully it will meet everyone's expectations so we can move forward.

In addition, please see the updated timeline below:

- January 15th – PG&E sends MEA MOU

  - January 22nd – Execute MOU

- January 31st – submit Advice Letter to ED – (coordinate with ED for fast track approval)

- First quarter – MCE added as a vendor

- March 2014- MEA OBR for non-MCE customers goes live

Thanks again for everyone's participation, please let me know if you have any questions.

Redacted

Redacted | Principal Account Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Office: Redacted
Cellula
Email:

From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, January 10, 2014 2:37 PM
To: 'jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov'; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Redacted
Redac ; Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Beckie Menten; Gandesbery, Mary
(Law); Greg Morse; Dietz, Sidney; Redacted ; Pol Sandro-Yepes
Subject: LIB Functionality for MCE OBR Next Steps

All-

Just to confirm next steps we discussed today on the call, please see below and let me know if I missed anything:

-PG&E will send a draft MOU to the group for review by 1/15 or earlier

-In parallel PG&E will start preparation of the Advice Letter for submission after MOU execution

-PG&E will send a high level project timeline to Jean and the group by 1/15 or earlier

Thanks for everyone's participation. We appreciate your help ensuring everyone is on the same page moving forward.

Redacted | Principal Account Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Office: Redacted
Cellula
Email: