

From: Lok, Ronald E.  
Sent: 1/6/2014 1:26:30 PM  
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)  
Cc: Tse, Rick (rick.tse@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Erik,

Yes that is correct, please submit pictures of each evidence piece collected and listed on the forensic survey map.

Thanks,

Ron Lok

-----Original Message-----

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]  
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2014 12:27 PM  
To: Lok, Ronald E.  
Subject: RE: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Ron,

Just to clarify your request for pictures. We previously provided SED with pictures in response to Question 29 on October 18th (marked as SED\_09182013\_KPP-29\_Atch\_1). I believe those pictures also corresponded to the survey map. I am assuming the new pictures you want were those taken on December 5th when CalOSHA collected the physical evidence. Is that correct?

Erik

-----Original Message-----

From: Lok, Ronald E. [mailto:ronald.lok@cpuc.ca.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2014 11:59 AM  
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
Cc: [Redacted] Tse, Rick; Beck, Valerie  
Subject: RE: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Thanks,

Ron lok

---

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [EBJ1@pge.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 1:04 PM  
To: Lok, Ronald E.

Cc: [Redacted]; Tse, Rick; Beck, Valerie

Subject: Re: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Thanks Ron. We will follow-up on this additional data request.

Regards,

Erik

On Dec 31, 2013, at 11:27 AM, "Lok, Ronald E." <ronald.lok@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

> Erik,

>

>

> Please submit photographs of the evidence collected at the [Redacted] in accordance with the list in your document "SED 12172013 KPP-06 Attch 2 ([Redacted] Forensic Survey)" and the corresponding rough weights and dimensions as mentioned your document "SED 12172013 KPP-06 Attch 1( Notice of Evidence Collection)". Also, please include a picture of the refractory that will be entered as evidence in accordance with your document SED\_12172013\_KPP\_12-23-2013.docx as follows;

>

> Question 5

> Should we add the boiler water wall refractory to the evidence list?

> ANSWER 5

> We will collect and tag one piece of the refractory brick and log it into the evidence list.

>

> Finally, this is to advise you that your submittal of "The Root Cause Analysis" is delinquent. In your data response dated Oct. 18, 2013, SED\_09182013\_KPP\_10-18-2013.docx, PG&E stated in question 52 that the RCA will be provided to us by the end 2013.

>

> Please submit the RCA, evidence photos and data by Jan. 17, 2014.

>

>

> Thanks,

>

>

> Ron Lok

> Utilities Engineer

> CPUC

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]

> Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 12:01 PM

> To: Beck, Valerie; Tse, Rick; Lok, Ronald E.

> Cc: [Redacted]  
> Subject: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting  
>  
> Valerie, Rick and Ron,  
>  
> Attached is PG&E's response to the questions that came up at our meeting last Tuesday, December 17th regarding the [Redacted] demolition project incident.

>  
> Please let me know if you have any additional questions.  
>  
> Best regards,  
>  
> Erik  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>