

From: Sandoval, Catherine J.K.
Sent: 2/6/2014 11:56:50 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Oakley

As the Court wrote, the issue was a legal one based on the hearsay nature of the evidence asserted to show need, and the lack of other evidence to show need.

What's the status of the Lawrence Livermore renewable integration needs study & the LTPP renewable integration needs study? Recall I asked about evidence of PG&E's need for a plant in Northern California to help integrate renewables in this region. Assessment of the role of any plant in renewable integration must take into account congestion and transmission limits that limit the ability to transfer power from North to South.

Are you going to NARUC? I arrive late Monday night after I speak at a conference a U. Colorado Boulder where it was -1 yesterday. Thanks, Cathy

From: Cherry, Brian K [BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 7:24 AM
To: Sandoval, Catherine J.K.
Subject: Oakley

Catherine - I wanted to say it personally yesterday but I had to leave the meeting just after it ended, but, all I can say is that you were right.

I'm assuming you are smiling now !

Brian K. Cherry
PG&E Company
VP, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA. 94105
(415) 973-4977

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>