

From: Sandoval, Catherine J.K.
Sent: 2/6/2014 1:21:17 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Oakley

ok

From: Cherry, Brian K [BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 1:20 PM
To: Sandoval, Catherine J.K.
Subject: RE: Oakley

Let's try Monday night first. I have a dinner but should be back at the hotel around 10 or so. That works. 415 517-5067. If it doesn't, we can try after the water dinner.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandoval, Catherine J.K. [mailto:CatherineJ.K.Sandoval@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 1:19 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: Oakley

I arrive Monday at 9:15 pm. I'll text you if my plane is on time and see if you're available for a beverage around 10:15 pm. Could also meet Tuesday night after the Water dinner. What's your phone number? Let me know if either of those times work. Thanks _____

From: Cherry, Brian K [BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 1:08 PM
To: Sandoval, Catherine J.K.
Subject: Re: Oakley

You were spot on. Same can't be said of our external legal counsel.

The LLNL never got off the ground because of the trailer bill that modified the decision. We can't spend until we receive AL approval. We have an AL pending that has never been approved and we have filed a modified one (bars on my latest knowledge).

There is some work in the LTPP that I'm not sure is helpful but we have engaged the ISO and the CEC.

I arrive late Sunday but will be there through Wednesday morning. Love to get together and chat if you have time. Stay warm. DC is supposed to be cold too !

Brian K. Cherry
PG&E Company
VP, Regulatory Relations

77 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA. 94105
(415) 973-4977

> On Feb 6, 2014, at 11:57 AM, "Sandoval, Catherine J.K." <CatherineJ.K.Sandoval@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

>

> As the Court wrote, the issue was a legal one based on the hearsay nature of the evidence asserted to show need, and the lack of other evidence to show need.

> What's the status of the Lawrence Livermore renewable integration needs study & the LTPP renewable integration needs study? Recall I asked about evidence of PG&E's need for a plant in Northern California to help integrate renewables in this region. Assessment of the role of any plant in renewable integration must take into account congestion and transmission limits that limit the ability to transfer power from North to South.

> Are you going to NARUC? I arrive late Monday night after I speak at a

> conference a U. Colorado Boulder where it was -1 yesterday. Thanks,

> Cathy _____

> From: Cherry, Brian K [BKC7@pge.com]

> Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 7:24 AM

> To: Sandoval, Catherine J.K.

> Subject: Oakley

>

> Catherine - I wanted to say it personally yesterday but I had to leave the meeting just after it ended, but, all I can say is that you were right.

>

> I'm assuming you are smiling now !

>

> Brian K. Cherry

> PG&E Company

> VP, Regulatory Relations

> 77 Beale Street

> San Francisco, CA. 94105

> (415) 973-4977

>

>

>

> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

> To learn more, please visit

> <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>