

From: Shawn Koo-SSI
Sent: 2/10/2014 10:27:14 AM
To: [Redacted] [Redacted] Lee, David K.
(david.lee@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD);
O'Donnell, Arthur J. (Arthur.O'Donnell@cpuc.ca.gov); Borak, Mary Jo
(maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted] } hyojin.m@samsung.com
(hyojin.m@samsung.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: PG&E's Advice 3442-G– Request for Approval under Section 851 for Samsung

Hi all,

We concur fully with what David from PG&E stated in his email below regarding our intent of submitting the Advice letter, and plead with you to consider PG&E's Advice letter as Tier 2 request for approval.

Best regards,

Shawn Koo
Director of Facilities
Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.
601 McCarthy Blvd
Milpitas, CA 95035
Phone: (408) 544-4805
e-Fax: (408) 933-7191
e-Mail: skoo@ssi.samsung.com

WARNING ! The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure. If you think that you have received this e-mail message in error or are not the intended recipient, please notify the following account: skoo@ssi.samsung.com

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 3:06 PM
To: Lee, David K.
Cc: Doll, Laura; Shawn Koo-SSI; Borak, Mary Jo; O'Donnell, Arthur J.
Subject: Re: PG&E's Advice 3442-G– Request for Approval under Section 851 for Samsung

Dear David,

I apologize for not responding earlier, but I do not believe this advice letter needs to be disposed via Resolution. I submitted this advice letter as Tier 2, which can be approved by a disposition letter from Ed Randolph. This went

as a Tier 2 because our project (gas pipeline relocation) is categorically exempt from CEQA as stated in the advice letter. The advice letter reads:

“This project qualifies as categorically exempt under Section 15304(f) of the CEQA guidelines, which exempts minor trenching and backfilling where the surface is restored.

Additionally, the City of San Jose issued a site development permit (Attachment 3) for the Property and confirmed the project, including pipeline relocation, will not have an unacceptable negative affect on adjacent property or properties. Therefore, PG&E requests that the Commission find that this pipeline relocation project is categorically exempt from CEQA and that no additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA is necessary to approve this advice letter.”

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions.

Regards,

Redacted

Regulatory Relations - PG&E

On Feb 7, 2014, at 2:15 PM, "Lee, David K." <david.lee@cpuc.ca.gov<<mailto:david.lee@cpuc.ca.gov>>> wrote:

Greetings,

We drafted a Resolution for PG&E’s AL 3442-G. We like to know whether PG&E and Samsung are willing to waive the 30-day comment period of this Resolution to expedite the approval process.

Thanks.

David

David K. Lee

Utilities Engineer, P.E.

Energy Division | Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch | Grid Planning and Reliability Section California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-1137 | dkl@cpuc.ca.gov<<mailto:dkl@cpuc.ca.gov>>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>