From:	Redacted
Sent:	2/13/2014 4:52:43 PM
To:	Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Sterkel, Merideth Molly (MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:	Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD);
	O'Donnell, Arthur J. (Arthur.O'Donnell@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted Redacted Rorak Mary Io
	Redacted ; Borak, Mary Jo (maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov) Redacted
	Redacted
Bcc:	
	: RE: PG&E Gas AL
.	
Hi Molly	у,
·	
Are there dates the week of March 17 that work for you and your team? The last quarterly meeting was a little more than two hours long so let's plan to hold about that much time to discuss both 131D and 851 advice letters.	
Thank y	ou,
Redacted	
Redacted Regulator	y Relations
	is and Electric Company
Redacted	

From: Allen, Meredith
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 3:10 PM
To: Sterkel, Merideth Molly
Cc: Doll, Laura; Borak, Mary Jo; O'Donnell, Arthur J.; Redacted
Subject: Re: PG&E Gas AL

Molly,

I agree that we need improvement in this area on both NOCs and 851s. I've checked in with Laura and we should have a process that applies to both. Redacted who leads our advice letter team, will work on a proposed approach. We will then schedule time to discuss with you and get your feedback.

I also think we should consider adding 851s to our quarterly meeting. We will send dates on Monday to get the quarterly meeting scheduled too.

Have a great weekend.

Meredith

On Feb 7, 2014, at 4:11 PM, "Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"" <MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Talk to you then. Thanks, Molly

Molly Tirpak Sterkel California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch

T: 415-703-1873, E: mts@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 12:12 PM

To: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"

Cc: Borak, Mary Jo; O'Donnell, Arthur J.; Allen, Meredith; Redacted

Subject: Re: PG&E Gas AL

Molly

Thanks so much!

I completely agree and would love to have a conversation with you soon about how we can improve this going forward as you perfectly describe what always seems to happen.

Redacted and David Lee exchanged emails yesterday. This is a Tier 2.

Hoping it is straightforward (and I know you always hear that!)

Ill be in touch next week to get on your calendar.

Thanks again Molly.

Laura

From: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" [mailto:MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 12:03 PM

To: Doll, Laura

Cc: Borak, Mary Jo <maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov>; O'Donnell, Arthur J.

<Arthur.O'Donnell@cpuc.ca.gov>; Allen, Meredith

Subject: PG&E Gas AL

Laura,

I got you inquiry about PG&E's 851 AL that you sent to Ed Randolph. It would be helpful to understand the timing of Samsung. It would also be helpful if PG&E please (pretty please) notify us upon filing if your 851 or NOC ALs are urgent – rather than us hearing from the customer or generator. While I wish we did everything instantly, we are often juggling priorities --- and we seem to always hear from PG&E or a developer after you already need something urgently.

Having said that, this 851 seems straightforward and unprotested, so it shouldn't be hard to process. I'm the branch manager – so if you have follow up questions, give me a call or send me an email.

Molly

On Wednesday, December 18, 2013, Pacific Gas and Electric Company submitted Advice Letter 3442-G to the Commission:

Easement Quitclaim and Relocation of Certain Gas Facilities from PG&E Easement to City of San Jose Franchise Area – Request for Approval under Section 851

Molly Tirpak Sterkel California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch

505 Van Ness, San Francisco, CA 94102

T: 415-703-1873, E: mts@cpuc.ca.gov

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. http://www.pgf.oca/abouare.oplpassy/psivacy/customer/