

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 2/13/2014 5:40:53 PM
To: Beckie Menten (bmenten@marinenergy.com)
Cc: Pitcock, Maril (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MxWL);
jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov (jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; DAWN WEISZ
(dweisz@marinenergy.com) (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Dietz, Sidney
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted] Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq); [Redacted]
[Redacted] Shalini Swaroop
(sswaroop@MarinEnergy.com); ELIZABETH KELLY (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com)
(Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com); Greg Morse (gmorse@mcecleanenergy.com); Pol
Sandro-Yepes (psandro-yepes@noblesolutions.com); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

Thanks Beckie, we will take a look and provide comments. We can confirm the timeline of the implementation when the advice letter is approved.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

From: Beckie Menten [mailto:bmenten@marinenergy.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 9:55 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]; jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz
(dweisz@marinenergy.com); [Redacted] Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly
(ekelly@marinenergy.com); Gandesbery, Mary (Law); Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted]; Pol Sandro-Yepes;
Greg Morse; Shalini Swaroop
Subject: Re: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

Redacted et al;

Thank you for submitting the Advice Letter re: the MCE OBR program. Attached, please find a revised version of the OBR Agreement reflecting the changes we think are necessary to move forward. If you feel a discussion on this would be helpful, let us know some times that might work for you. Otherwise, we'll look forward to seeing your comments on the attached draft. It would be great if you could also let us know a timeline for implementation.

Thanks,

-Beckie Menten

On Fri, Jan 10, 2014 at 10:04 AM, Redacted wrote:

Everyone,

In order to ensure that we can complete this project in a timely manner PG&E suggests that we execute an agreement to clearly define the scope of this work. Here is a summary that we would like to review for the discussion today.

Talk to you at 11.

Thanks,

Redacted

Overview

At the direction of the Energy Division, PG&E is working with MCE to develop the IT functionality to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency pilots authorized in D.12-11-015. PG&E proposes a PG&E/MCE Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to outline the parties' agreement and clearly define the product that will be developed.

The MOU should address the following:

- [REDACTED] PG&E has been directed by the Energy Division to develop this functionality for the MCE financing pilots approved by the Commission.
- [REDACTED] PG&E will submit an advice letter to the Commission requesting that some of the funds approved for the statewide energy efficiency pilots be shifted to fund the IT work needed by MCE. The amount requested will be based on PG&E's initial estimates of the billing costs, which will be provided by MCE. IT work will begin after funding is approved.
- [REDACTED] MCE will be charged a fee per customer bill which will equal the fees MCE pays to PG&E for CCA billing, as may be revised from time to time.
- [REDACTED] The billing functionality will be limited to customers, lenders and projects eligible for the MCE OBR pilots approved in D-12-11-015.
- [REDACTED] PG&E will provide MCE an example customer bill based on MCE's specifications for its review and approval.
- [REDACTED] MCE agrees to utilize the existing line item billing functionality as described by PG&E. Variations of this functionality will increase the cost of this effort.
- [REDACTED] This functionality will be the sole functionality created to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency finance pilots. The CCA page would not be modified for this purpose at a later date.
- [REDACTED] PG&E and MCE will update the existing OBR Agreement which was executed on October 8th, 2013 after the IT work is completed.

[REDACTED]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Principal Product Manager, Financing

[REDACTED]

-----Original Appointment-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 4:02 PM
To: [Redacted]; 'jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov'; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); [Redacted] Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Beckie Menten; Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
Subject: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion
When: Friday, January 10, 2014 11:00 AM-11:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).
Where: Conf call: [Redacted]

All-

Please join the call to discuss any updates regarding utilizing LIB functionality to add additional MCE charges to the PG&E bill that are not ordered by the CPUC. Examples include:

- An MCE departed load charge
- Battery Storage charges
- Other future charges to support new CCA services

Conf call: [Redacted]

Thanks for your time-

[Redacted] Principal Account Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
[Redacted]

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more at: www.mceCleanEnergy.org/privacy