

From: McMahon, Rachel  
Sent: 2/20/2014 10:26:17 AM  
To: [Redacted]  
Kaneshiro, Bruce (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: DR Meetings on Olivine Study/Rule 24 Implementation and DR & System Needs

[Redacted] – Thank you. I’ve reserved the Courtyard Training Room at 505 Van Ness for the meeting on March 6<sup>th</sup> in the afternoon, from 3 to 5 pm, and will forward you the invitation. I will get back to you regarding the meeting on March 4<sup>th</sup>.

Best regards,

Rachel McMahon

Rachel McMahon

Public Utilities Regulatory Analyst

Demand Response Section

Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission

V: 415.703.1606

E: rcl@cpuc.ca.gov

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 20, 2014 10:12 AM  
**To:** McMahon, Rachel; Kaneshiro, Bruce  
**Cc:** [Redacted]; Dietz, Sidney  
**Subject:** DR Meetings on Olivine Study/Rule 24 Implementation and DR & System Needs

Dear Rachel,

Thank you again for helping coordinate these meetings. We would like to combine the Olivine study (focus on PG&E's programs) discussion with a related topic – Rule 24 Implementation, which would be a good 2 hour meeting. Since our director, Nick Ho is unfortunately not available on March 4, but he is available for the Olivine study meeting on **March 6 from 3-5pm**. We hope this day/time works for the Commissioner advisors as well.

For the **morning of March 4**, we would like to discuss how DR relates to system needs, where someone from Energy Procurement will join the meeting to talk about the load duration curve(s). This was also presented to Audrey Lee and Rachel Peterson back in December and was very valuable in providing context for DR can fit in to the portfolio.

Here is a quick overview of the proposed meetings.

March 4<sup>th</sup> – Morning: **DR and System Needs** (Load Duration Curve) – 1.5-2 hours

March 6<sup>th</sup> – 3 pm to 5 pm: **Olivine Study and Rule 24 Implementation** – 2 hours

Please let me know how this sounds and which time on March 4 would work best for you.

Best,

Redacted

**From:** Redacted

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 19, 2014 4:08 PM

**To:** 'McMahon, Rachel'

**Cc:** Kaneshiro, Bruce; Redacted Dietz, Sidney; [breid@olivineinc.com](mailto:breid@olivineinc.com)

**Subject:** RE: Olivine Study

Dear Rachel,

Thank you for sending the dates. I already reached out to Beth from Olivine, she is on board. I will check the group's calendars internally and get back to you as soon as I can confirm a date/time.

Thanks,

Redacted

Redacted


Demand Response Policy

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

**From:** McMahon, Rachel [<mailto:Rachel.McMahon@cpuc.ca.gov>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 19, 2014 3:32 PM

**To:** Redacted

**Cc:** Kaneshiro, Bruce

**Subject:** RE: Olivine Study

Redacted

– Thank you. The following dates and times appear to work for all of us, including the

two Commissioner advisors I mentioned in my last e-mail. I have not checked with Olivine on these dates, and we would like to include them, as well. Does it make sense for you to handle that outreach?

March 4<sup>th</sup> – Morning

March 6<sup>th</sup> – 3 pm to 5 pm

March 10<sup>th</sup> – 10 am – 11:30 am

March 13<sup>th</sup> – 1 pm to 3 pm

March 14<sup>th</sup> – 3 pm to 5 pm

March 18<sup>th</sup> – before 11:30 am; after 2:30 pm

Thanks and best,  
Rachel McMahon

Rachel McMahon

Public Utilities Regulatory Analyst

Demand Response Section

Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission

V: 415.703.1606

E: [rcl@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:rcl@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 18, 2014 5:12 PM  
**To:** McMahon, Rachel  
**Cc:** Liang-Uejio, Scarlett; Chow, Dorris; Blumer, Werner M.; Edwards, Russell; Erickson, John "David"; Barcic, Nathan; [Redacted] Ho, Nick; [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Kaneshiro, Bruce  
**Subject:** RE: Olivine Study

Dear Rachel,

I hope you had a great holiday weekend. Thank you for your e-mail.

We would be happy to schedule a meeting to discuss the Olivine report on PG&E's DR programs. Please feel free to let me know which days would work best for you and the group.

We also would like to set up a separate meeting to discuss system needs and DR, where someone from the PG&E Energy Procurement group would present on the load duration curve and how DR can help address the duck graph. We had a similar meeting with Audrey Lee and Rachel Peterson in December, who found this presentation very informative.

Lastly, I attached a more specific directory of the DR Policy Team for your reference.

Best,


[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Demand Response Policy

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

**From:** McMahon, Rachel [mailto:Rachel.McMahon@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 18, 2014 9:30 AM

**To:** Redacted

**Cc:** Liang-Uejio, Scarlett; Chow, Dorris; Blumer, Werner M.; Edwards, Russell; Erickson, John "David"; Barcic, Nathan; Redacted; Ho, Nick; Redacted

Redacted Kaneshiro, Bruce

**Subject:** RE: Olivine Study

Redacted – Thank you. I've reviewed the report that Olivine prepared per request of the CAISO, and have had some follow-up discussion with Olivine and others. If PG&E has particular views about any of the points in that report, I would be interested to hear those. I will let you know if I think of any specific follow-up questions. As we discussed in our meeting last week, I am especially interested in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Olivine report you mentioned, which focuses on PG&E's portfolio, and would welcome a discussion focused on that study. I believe others on the DR team would desire such a discussion, as well. Please let us know when we can review the report and discuss further – perhaps at our next joint meeting, or earlier if feasible.

Best,

Rachel McMahon

Rachel McMahon

Public Utilities Regulatory Analyst

Demand Side Branch/Non-Residential Section

Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission

V: 415.703.1606

E: [rcl@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:rcl@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 14, 2014 6:09 PM  
**To:** McMahon, Rachel  
**Cc:** Liang-Uejio, Scarlett; Chow, Dorris; Blumer, Werner M.; Edwards, Russell; Erickson, John "David"; Barcic, Nathan; [Redacted] Ho, Nick; [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Kaneshiro, Bruce  
**Subject:** Olivine Study

Dear Rachel,

As mentioned in Tuesday's meeting, we wanted to send you the [link](#) to the Olivine study the CAISO had tasked Olivine to conduct on their behalf to identify barriers to the wholesale market.

At the end of last year, Olivine was also tasked to conduct a separate study focused on PG&E DR programs, which we would like to share with you in a future meeting. Please let us know if you are interested and we would be happy to set up a time to discuss both studies as they pertain to DR wholesale market integration.

Have a wonderful long weekend!


[Redacted]

Redacted

Demand Response Policy

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>