From:	Redacted
Sent:	2/14/2014 4:09:41 PM
To:	Beckie Menten (bmenten@marinenergy.com)
Cc:	Pitcock, Maril (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MxWL); jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov (jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted DAWN WEISZ
	(dweisz@marinenergy.com) (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Redacted Redacted Gandesbery, Mary (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq) Redacted Shalini Swaroop (sswaroop@MarinEnergy.com); ELIZABETH KELLY (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com) (Ekelly@MarinEnergy.com); Greg Morse (gmorse@mcecleanenergy.com); Pol Sandro-Yepes (psandro-yepes@noblesolutions.com); Redacted Redacted
Bcc:	
Subject:	RE: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion
Beckie,	
	orrect. We have kept the work on the schedule assuming approval of the advice lette k. Our IT team will reach out next week when the advice letter is approved to
Thanks a	nd have a nice weekend,
R	
Sent: Thu To: Redact	
Maril; Eliza	amming@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Redacted Pitcock, abeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Gandesberv. Marv (Law): Dietz. Sidnev Redacte Sandro-Yepes; Greg Morse; Shalini Swaroop; Redacted

Re da	
We look forward to seeing your comments. I was und to schedule the IT work simultaneous to the Advice L would be great to gain a little clarity as to your imples	etter submission - is this not the case? It
Thanks,	
Beckie	
On Feb 13, 2014, at 5:41 PM, Redacted	> wrote:
Thanks Beckie, we will take a look and provid timeline of the implementation when the advice	
Thanks,	
Red	
	@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz cock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly aw); Dietz, Sidney; Redacted; Pol

Subject: Re: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

Attached, please find a revised version of the OBR Agreement reflecting the changes we think are necessary to move forward. If you feel a discussion on this would be helpful, let us know some times that might work for you. Otherwise, we'll look forward to seeing your comments on the attached draft. I would be great if you could also let us know a timeline for implementation.
Thanks,
-Beckie Menten
O. D. J. 10. 0014 110.04 A34 Dedacted
On Fri, Jan 10, 2014 at 10:04 AM, Redacted wrote:
Everyone,
In order to ensure that we can complete this project in a timely manner PG&E
suggests that we execute an agreement to clearly define the scope of this work. Here is a summary that we would like to review for the discussion today
·
Talk to you at 11.
Thanks,
R
Overview
At the direction of the Energy Division, PG&E is working with MCE to develop

Al et al;

the IT functionality to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency pilots authorized in D.12-11-015. PG&E proposes a PG&E/MCE Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to outline the parties' agreement and clearly define the product that will be developed.

The MOU should address the following:

- PG&E has been directed by the Energy Division to develop this functionality for the MCE financing pilots approved by the Commission.
- PG&E will submit an advice letter to the Commission requesting that some
 of the funds approved for the statewide energy efficiency pilots be shifted to
 fund the IT work needed by MCE. The amount requested will be based on
 PG&E's initial estimates of the billing costs, which will be provided by MCE. IT
 work will begin after funding is approved.
- MCE will be charged a fee per customer bill which will equal the fees MCE pays to PG&E for CCA billing, as may be revised from time to time.
- The billing functionality will be limited to customers, lenders and projects eligible for the MCE OBR pilots approved in D-12-11-015.
- PG&E will provide MCE an example customer bill based on MCE's specifications for its review and approval.
- MCE agrees to utilize the existing line item billing functionality as described by PG&E. Variations of this functionality will increase the cost of this effort.
- This functionality will be the sole functionality created to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency finance pilots. The CCA page would not be modified for this purpose at a later date.
- PG&E and MCE will update the existing OBR Agreement which was executed on October 8th, 2013 after the IT work is completed.

Redacted
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Principal Product Manager, Financing
Redacted

Redacted
Original Appointment From: Redacted Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 4:02 PM To: Redacted 'jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov'; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Eansor, Maria P; Redacted Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Beckie Menten; Gandesbery, Mary (Law) Subject: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion When: Friday, January 10, 2014 11:00 AM-11:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada). Where: Conf call: Redacted mtg number Redacted
All-
Please join the call to discuss any updates regarding utilizing LIB functionality to add additional MCE charges to the PG&E bill that are not ordered by the CPUC. Examples include:
-An MCE departed load charge
-Battery Storage charges
-Other future charges to support new CCA services
Conf call Redacted mtg number
Thanks for your time-
Redacted Principal Account Manager Pacific Gas and Electric Company Office: Redacted Cellula Email:

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/
MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more at: www.mceCleanEnergy.org/privacy
PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/
nttp://www.pge.com/about/company/pnvacy/customen/
ICE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more at: <u>www.mceCleanEnergy.org/privacy</u>