

From: Kolset, Timothy  
Sent: 2/7/2014 5:22:14 PM  
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" (MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Dietrich, William (william.dietrich@cpuc.ca.gov); Fong, Russell@HSR (russell.fong@hsr.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: High Speed Rail and PG&E meeting on transmission extension agreements

March 4th at 10 or March 6th after 2 (if possible) would work. I will not be available for the 2/21 meeting in Sacramento.

Regards,  
Tim Kolset  
916-500-8910

---

From: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" [MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov]  
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 7:43 PM  
To: Allen, Meredith  
Cc: Fong, Russell@HSR; Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"; Dietrich, William; Kolset, Timothy  
Subject: Re: High Speed Rail and PG&E meeting on transmission extension agreements

The march 6th time could work. I will be in Sacramento on 2/21, could that work for anyone? Maybe even for a quick meet and greet for me at the HSR office?

Molly

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 7, 2014, at 4:19 PM, "Allen, Meredith" <MEAe@pge.com> wrote:

>

> Russell, Molly, Tim,

>

> Would a meeting on March 4 at 10:00 or 3:00 or March 6 at 1:00 work? Should we plan on having the meeting at the CPUC or we could host at PG&E?

>

> If these dates do not work, please let me know and I will send other options.

>

> Best regards,

> Meredith

>

> On Feb 3, 2014, at 3:58 PM, "Allen, Meredith" <MEAe@pge.com<<mailto:MEAe@pge.com>>> wrote:

>

> Russell, Molly, Tim,

>

> I apologize for the delay in responding. I was out of the office and then sick for much of last week. I will check calendars here and then send potential dates later this week.

>

> Thanks,

> Meredith

>

> From: Fong, Russell@HSR [<mailto:russell.fong@hsr.ca.gov>]

> Sent: Monday, January 27, 2014 1:10 PM

> To: Sterkel, Merideth Molly

> Cc: Allen, Meredith; Marian Moe; Taylor, Rachel@HSR; Borak, Mary Jo; Dietrich, William; kolsett@pbworld.com<<mailto:kolsett@pbworld.com>>; Fielding, Karl@HSR; O'Donnell, Arthur J.; McLoughlin, Mark@HSR; Boughton, Derek@HSR

> Subject: Re: High Speed Rail and PG&E meeting on transmission extension agreements

>

> Hi Molly -

>

> Thank you for the information. For now, please include me and Derek Boughton (cc'd) our Budget Manager.

>

> Russell G. Fong

> Chief Financial Officer

> CA High-Speed Rail Authority

>

> [russell.fong@hsr.ca.gov](mailto:russell.fong@hsr.ca.gov)<<mailto:russell.fong@hsr.ca.gov>>

> office: (916) 431-2929

> cell: (916) 215-8941

>

>

> On Jan 24, 2014, at 2:09 PM, "Sterkel, Merideth "Molly""

<[MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov)<<mailto:MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov>>> wrote:

> Russell,

> I am writing to try to figure out who at High Speed Rail should meet with PG&E to discuss their Transmission tariffs. I recently had a long meeting that included Mark McLoughlin, Rachel Taylor, Scott Rothenberg (and a few others) at HSR. It also included several people from the PB Environment planning team (Karl Fielding, Tim Kolsett). We are working with that group of folks to try to get a process moving where PG&E can provide next level (i.e. more detailed) information about facilities needed to be built to interconnect HSR electrically to the grid.

>

> During these recent meetings, it became clear that HSR has never had a briefing from PG&E on the transmission extension process. There is a pretty detailed tariff procedure (approved as a CPUC tariff) that governs installation of new distribution facilities to serve new customers. Those tariffs (known as Rule 15 and 16) give customers allowances for the portion of the extension cost that will be supported by revenues from other loads served by the extension.

>

> Since HSR is looking at PG&E interconnection costs, I wanted PG&E to discuss with HSR what might be

possible for an electric transmission service extension agreement. PG&E has done a few such agreements for other large/unusual customers – and clarity on this (when it comes many moons from now) would give you some additional understanding of the real costs that will face HSR from an electric service connection perspective.

>

> To put it simply – previous meetings I've been a part of with HSR have focused on the environmental document process – what it will take to make sure environmental documents that cover electrical interconnection facilities are adequate. I think we need to have a meeting with HSR focused on the costs of those facilities—and the agreements with PG&E on who and how to pay for those costs.

>

> Who would be the right group to meet with? Sorry to include so many people on the cc: line...

>

> The lead to arrange this meeting from PG&E's side is Meredith Allen, meae@pge.com<<mailto:meae@pge.com>>, and she is cc:ed here.

>

> Molly

>

>

> Molly Tirpak Sterkel

> California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

> Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch

> T: 415-703-1873, E: mts@cpuc.ca.gov<<mailto:mts@cpuc.ca.gov>>

>

>

>

> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

---

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.