

From: Allen, Meredith  
Sent: 2/7/2014 3:10:13 PM  
To: Sterkel, Merideth Molly (MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD);  
O'Donnell, Arthur J. (Arthur.O'Donnell@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Borak, Mary Jo  
(maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PG&E Gas AL

Molly,

I agree that we need improvement in this area on both NOCs and 851s. I've checked in with Laura and we should have a process that applies to both. [Redacted] [Redacted], who leads our advice letter team, will work on a proposed approach. We will then schedule time to discuss with you and get your feedback.

I also think we should consider adding 851s to our quarterly meeting. We will send dates on Monday to get the quarterly meeting scheduled too.

Have a great weekend.

Meredith

On Feb 7, 2014, at 4:11 PM, "Sterkel, Merideth "Molly""  
<MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Talk to you then. Thanks,  
Molly

Molly Tirpak Sterkel  
California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch

T: 415-703-1873, E: [mts@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:mts@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 07, 2014 12:12 PM  
**To:** Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"  
**Cc:** Borak, Mary Jo; O'Donnell, Arthur J.; Allen, Meredith; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** Re: PG&E Gas AL

Molly

Thanks so much!

I completely agree and would love to have a conversation with you soon about how we can improve this going forward as you perfectly describe what always seems to happen.

[Redacted] and David Lee exchanged emails yesterday. This is a Tier 2. Hoping it is straightforward (and I know you always hear that!)

I'll be in touch next week to get on your calendar.

Thanks again Molly.

Laura

**From:** Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" [mailto:MeridethMolly.Sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 07, 2014 12:03 PM  
**To:** Doll, Laura  
**Cc:** Borak, Mary Jo <maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov>; O'Donnell, Arthur J. <Arthur.O'Donnell@cpuc.ca.gov>; Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** PG&E Gas AL

Laura,

I got your inquiry about PG&E's 851 AL that you sent to Ed Randolph. It would be helpful to understand the timing of Samsung. It would also be helpful if PG&E please (pretty please) notify us upon filing if your 851 or NOC ALs are urgent – rather than us hearing from the customer or generator. While I wish we did everything instantly, we are often juggling priorities --- and we seem to always hear from PG&E or a developer after you already need something urgently.

Having said that, this 851 seems straightforward and unprotested, so it shouldn't be hard to process. I'm the branch manager – so if you have follow up

questions, give me a call or send me an email.

Molly

**On Wednesday, December 18, 2013, Pacific Gas and Electric Company submitted Advice Letter 3442-G to the Commission:**

**Easement Quitclaim and Relocation of Certain Gas Facilities from PG&E Easement to City of San Jose Franchise Area – Request for Approval under Section 851**

Molly Tirpak Sterkel  
California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

Program Manager, Infrastructure Planning and Permitting Branch

505 Van Ness, San Francisco, CA 94102

T: 415-703-1873, E: [mts@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:mts@cpuc.ca.gov)

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/aboutourcompany/privacy/customer/>