

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
Sent: 2/24/2014 10:38:32 AM  
To: 'Lok, Ronald E.' (ronald.lok@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Redacted Beck, Valerie  
(valerie.beck@cpuc.ca.gov); Tse, Rick (rick.tse@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Ron,

Attached are the revised ordinance disposal plan and evidence retrieval protocol document for your review.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss or have any additional questions.

Best Regards,

Erik

-----Original Message-----

From: Lok, Ronald E. [mailto:ronald.lok@cpuc.ca.gov]  
Sent: Monday, January 06, 2014 1:53 PM  
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
Cc: Redacted Tse, Rick; Beck, Valerie  
Subject: RE: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Erik,

Please note that Alpha disagrees with the method of handling of unexploded charges. Alpha states in their attached letter that unexploded charges should not be returned to them and should be exploded on-site in the presence of an explosives expert.

Please revise your work plan documents accordingly and resubmit them for our records. At least these two documents need to be revised;

1. Silverado Contractors RFP No. 6478 (KPP) Work Plan Nov. 5, 2013; Reference Section 12 "Blast Assessment Plan"
2. Exponent Failure Analysis Associates, Protocol for Evidence Retrieval and Storage (KPP), Dec. 16, 2013. Reference page 6.

Please conform receipt of this message and resubmit revised documents.

Thanks,

Ron Lok  
CPUC

-----Original Message-----

From: Lok, Ronald E.

Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 11:27 AM

To: 'Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)'

Cc: [Redacted] Tse, Rick; Beck, Valerie

Subject: RE: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Erik,

Please submit photographs of the evidence collected at the Kern Site in accordance with the list in your document "SED 12172013 KPP-06 Atch 2 (Kern power Plant Forensic Survey)" and the corresponding rough weights and dimensions as mentioned your document "SED 12172013 KPP-06 Atch 1( Notice of Evidence Collection". Also, please include a picture of the refractory that will be entered as evidence in accordance with your document SED\_12172013\_KPP\_12-23-2013.docx as follows;

Question 5

Should we add the boiler water wall refractory to the evidence list?

ANSWER 5

We will collect and tag one piece of the refractory brick and log it into the evidence list.

Finally, this is to advise you that your submittal of "The Root Cause Analysis" is delinquent. In your data response dated Oct. 18, 2013, SED\_09182013\_KPP\_10-18-2013.docx, PG&E stated in question 52 that the RCA will be provided to us by the end 2013.

Please submit the RCA, evidence photos and data by Jan. 17, 2014.

Thanks,

Ron Lok  
Utilities Engineer  
CPUC

-----Original Message-----

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [<mailto:EBJ1@pge.com>]

Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 12:01 PM

To: Beck, Valerie; Tse, Rick; Lok, Ronald E.

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: Data response to questions discussed during 12/17 meeting

Valerie, Rick and Ron,

Attached is PG&E's response to the questions that came up at our meeting last Tuesday, December 17th regarding the Kern Power Plant demolition project incident.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Best regards,

Erik

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>