

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PSEP Update
Application 13-10-017
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	ORA_004-12		
PG&E File Name:	PSEP-Update_DR_ORA_004-Q12		
Request Date:	February 13, 2014	Requester DR No.:	ORA 4
Date Sent:	February 28, 2014	Requesting Party:	Office of Ratepayer Advocates
PG&E Witness:	Sumeet Singh	Requester:	Tom Roberts/Alaine James

SUBJECT: PWC QUALITY ASSURANCE: PIPELINE PROGRAM DATA VALIDATION PROCESS

QUESTION 12

Page 3-21 of PG&E’s Testimony states that “the programmatic automated review of footage inputs and calculation of all workbooks yielded an observed defective rate of 0.6 percent consisting of two workbooks that were considered to be defective.” Provide the name of these projects and the nature of the defects, or identify where this information can be found in responses to other discovery questions from ORA.

ANSWER 12

During the QA 4 test, the QA team discovered two projects with formatting mistakes that led to a spreadsheet data cell shift, resulting in total project footage errors. The QA 4 test procedure did not include a specific test for this discrepancy; therefore, PG&E decided to implement an additional QA step to conduct 100% testing of project footage as an additional level of scrutiny. As a result, the QA 6 macro test was designed and implemented. The two projects considered to be defective based on QA 6 include:

Project Name: L-021C TEST 7.10MI MP 35.05-51.41 PH1

Project Name: L-167 REPL 10.72MI MP 22.56-34.52 PH1