

From: Daubin, Brian M (GT&D)
Sent: 2/10/2014 8:23:08 AM
To: Eng, Terence (terence.eng@cpuc.ca.gov) (terence.eng@cpuc.ca.gov); Lee, Dennis M. (dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov) (dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov); Malashenko, Elizaveta I. (elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov) (elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted] [Redacted]; Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD); Johnson, Kirk (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MKJ2); [Redacted] [Redacted] Barnes, Bennie (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=B2BY)
Bcc:
Subject: FW: Note from CPUC NDE Meeting 2/7/2014

Liza,

Attached is the presentation from our Weekly NDE Update Meeting held on Friday, 2/7/2014. If there are any questions, please let me know and I will work to answer them immediately.

Thank you,

Brian M. Daubin

Pacific Gas & Electric Co.

925-783-3622 (cell)

925-244-3394 (office)

"Never tell people how to do things. Tell them what to do and they will surprise you with their ingenuity."

--George S. Patton, US Army General

From: Daubin, Brian M (GT&D)
Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 8:11 AM
To: Daubin, Brian M (GT&D)
Subject: Note from CPUC NDE Meeting 2/7/2014

Attendees:

Terence Eng

Dennis Lee

Brian Daubin

Brian Daubin provided an update per the following presentation:

Discussed the TCI Validation status:

1. Continuing with monthly Leak Survey on 600 miles of GT Pipe.
2. LLNL is currently reviewing validation process proposed by PG&E.

Reviewed NDE Program Enhancements:

1. Currently waiting for training to be complete in order to rollout new Standards/Work Procedures
2. Do not want to have untrained personnel to new standard when it rolls out.

Reviewed PG&E's Next Steps:

1. Next scheduled dig is 2/18/14
1. WW-4 A/B/C (3 welds in Stockton)
2. WW-4 D-H pending permits from San Joaquin County
1. 5 Welds in Stockton
3. Initiating contingency digs
1. 3 Welds in Gustine (est 2/14/14)
2. 2 Welds in Dunnigan (est 2/14/14)
3. 1 Weld in San Lorenzo (est 2/14/14)

Verbally discussed LLNL's recommendations:

1. Discussed 3 alternatives:

1. Max 5% error = 54 digs
2. Max 2% error = 149 digs
3. Film review of all areas available, utilize data to establish risk based dig program
2. Discussed the need to review official document
1. PG&E's focus is not on statistical confidence but solely focused on reducing risk to public and employees
1. PG&E will select the alternative that provides the high level of risk reduction.
2. PG&E will need to time to determine which approach provides the greatest impact to pipeline safety
3. Discussed the SED's preference to who performs analysis of alternative 3 if so chosen:
 1. There are several options as to who could perform review:
 1. PG&E (ATS Group)
 2. Independent 3rd party (NDT Technical Svcs)
 3. BV
 4. LLNL
 2. SED stated that they did not have a preference as to who PG&E requests to do the analysis, only that LLNL reviews findings

Evernote helps you remember everything and get organized effortlessly. [Download Evernote.](#)