

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 3/1/2014 11:35:12 AM
To: Denise Tyrrell (denise.tyrrell@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fwd: PSEP Audit conversations

FYI.

Brian K. Cherry
PG&E Company
VP, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA. 94105
(415) 973-4977

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Horner, Trina" <TNHc@pge.com>
Date: March 1, 2014 at 10:06:40 AM PST
To: "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com>
Cc: "Bottorff, Thomas E" <TEB3@pge.com>
Subject: PSEP Audit conversations

Brian – here's what I've tracked down:

1) Parties spoke yesterday about PSEP schedule. ORA asked if we would object to a workshop on the draft SED PSEP audit prior to their testimony. On that call, no party knew the timing of the SED's audit or when their report might come out and what their envisioned next steps would be (i.e., how SED feels about a workshop).

2) On that call, ORA and PG&E agreed that we would both get in touch with SED and share any information we learned. *Tom Roberts of ORA specifically asked us to follow up with SED and let them (ORA) know what we learned.*

3) ORA apparently asked Carolina (who works for Liza) but didn't get an answer. Laura asked Liza, and did get an answer. Perhaps the difference in information sharing was the timing of when ORA spoke with SED versus when we spoke with SED (i.e., if ORA asked Carolina before SED had made a decision on this). Perhaps the difference was that Liza knew or was empowered to share information, and Carolina wasn't aware or was not empowered to share. Perhaps Laura has a better sense of the right questions to ask.

4) Laura shared the information with Kerry, Lise, Sumeet, you, and Shilpa. She didn't mention that the conversation with Liza was off the record.

5) Because of the specific agreement earlier in the day between ORA and PG&E referenced in 2) above, and specifically in response to Tom Roberts' request, Kerry sent the email that Joe is reacting to.

6) Given that PG&E and ORA had agreed earlier in the day to both check with SED and report back, it did not occur to Kerry, Lise or Shilpa that Laura's conversation would have been off the record. From my perspective, this answers your question last night of what they were thinking.

It seems to me that Joe is not getting the full story from his own team (i.e., Tom Roberts ASKED us to check with SED), and he appears to be using this communication to address what seems to me to be a larger, internal issue that ORA has. It's unfortunate that Joe is using a very professional set of communications between PG&E and ORA to further another agenda, but this strikes me as having very little to do with PG&E.

Let me know if I'm missing something, or if you need anything more.