

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Integrate and Refine
Procurement Policies and Consider Long -Term
Procurement Plans

Rulemaking 12-03-014
(Filed March 22, 2012)

**MOTION OF THE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION TO LATE-FILE
CORRECTED REPLY COMMENTS ON TRACK 4 PROPOSED DECISION**

David A. Peffer, Esq.
PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES
FOUNDATION
4452 Park Boulevard, Suite 209
San Diego, CA 92116
david.a.peffer@gmail.com

March 24, 2014

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Integrate and Refine
Procurement Policies and Consider Long -Term
Procurement Plans

Rulemaking 12-03-014
(Filed March 22, 2012)

**MOTION OF THE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITIES FOUNDATION TO LATE-FILE
CORRECTED REPLY COMMENTS ON TRACK 4 PROPOSED DECISION**

Pursuant to Rule 11.1 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Protect Our Communities Foundation (“POC”) hereby submits the following Motion to Late-File Corrected Reply Comments on the Track 4 Proposed Decision for R.12-03-014.

On March 10, 2014, POC timely submitted its Reply Comments on the Track 4 Proposed Decision. These Comments include references to a document titled *SDG&E Performance Category Upgrade Request for Imperial Valley – Miguel 500 kV (SWPL) and Imperial Valley – Central 500 kV (Sunrise Powerlink) Double Line Outage Probability Analysis*. POC’s Reply Comments further identify this document as “Attachment A.” However, POC inadvertently did not include this document as an attachment when filing its Comments. POC moves that the Commission allow POC remedy this inadvertent omission by allowing POC to file corrected Reply Comments that include a copy of Attachment A as originally intended.

Granting POC’s motion will not result in prejudice to any party, as the “Attachment A” document is already a part of the evidentiary record for this proceeding, having been admitted, in full or in part, as exhibits TURN X CAISO-2, POC X CAISO-3 and TURN x CAISO-7.

///
///
///

Because POC's omission was an inadvertent error, and because granting POC's Motion will not result in prejudice to any party, POC asks that its Motion to Late-File Corrected Reply Comments be granted.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: March 24, 2014

_____/S/
David A. Peffer, Esq.
Protect Our Communities Foundation
4452 Park Boulevard, Suite 209
San Diego, CA 92116
david.a.peffer@gmail.com