- 1. Attachment F of Cynthia Fang's testimony shows illustrative rate impacts. Please fill in the rates for the various scenarios based on the rules set in the table below. CARE rates presented should include all discounts and rate exemptions. (Please note RAR represents Residential Revenue Increases, and should also reflect implementation of 2012 GRC2 changes for revenue allocation and CARE allocation per D.14-01-002.)
 - a. Assume 100% revenue requirements of all pending rate cases, and the full impact of SDG&E's 2012 GRC2 decision, D.14-01-002.
 - b. Assume 75% revenue requirements of all pending rate cases, and the full impact of SDG&E's 2012 GRC2 decision, D.14-01-002.
 - c. Assume 50% revenue requirements of all pending rate cases, and the full impact of SDG&E's 2012 GRC2 decision, D.14-01-002.

			General Rules (B Rate Changes from
Non-CARE Rates	Current (A)	New Rates (B)	A)
			RAR+ 5%, but no
T1 <100% BL	\$0.148		less than 10%
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.171		RAR + 8%
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.346		solve residually
			no greater than
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.366		\$0.395
CARE Rates			
T1 <100% BL	\$0.099		RAR + 5%
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.116		RAR + 5%
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.175		RAR + 5%
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.175		RAR + 5%
Effective CARE			
discount (No			
climate dividend)			

SDG&E Response 01:

Scenario A (100% revenue requirements):

Non-CARE Rates	Current Feb. 2014 (A)	New Rates (B)		
T1 <100% BL	\$0.154	\$0.178		
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.178	\$0.211		
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.349	\$0.367		
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.369	\$0.387		
CARE Rates				
T1 <100% BL	\$0.103	\$0.119		
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.120	\$0.139		
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.176	\$0.203		
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.176	\$0.203		
Effective CARE discount (No				
climate dividend)	39.2%	37.2%		
*Rates shown are for Summer				

SDG&E Response 01-Continued:

Scenario B (75% revenue requirements):

	Current Feb. 2014			
Non-CARE Rates	(A)	New Rates (B)		
T1 <100% BL	\$0.154	\$0.172		
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.178	\$0.204		
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.349	\$0.354		
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.369	\$0.374		
CARE Rates				
T1 <100% BL	\$0.103	\$0.115		
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.120	\$0.134		
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.176	\$0.196		
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.176	\$0.196		
Effective CARE discount (No climate				
dividend)	39.2%	37.2%		
*Rates shown are for Summer				

SDG&E Response 01-Continued:

Scenario C (50% revenue requirements):

	Current Feb. 2014			
Non-CARE Rates	(A)	New Rates (B)		
T1 <100% BL	\$0.154	\$0.166		
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.178	\$0.197		
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.349	\$0.340		
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.369	\$0.360		
CARE Rates				
T1 <100% BL	\$0.103	\$0.111		
T2 100-130% BL	\$0.120	\$0.129		
T3 130-200% BL	\$0.176	\$0.190		
T4 > 200% BL	\$0.176	\$0.190		
Effective CARE discount (No				
climate dividend)	39.2%	37.2%		
*Rates shown are for Summer				

2. Provide bill impacts for the above rate changes for non-CARE and CARE on system wide as well as inland and costal zones using the templates Energy Division sent out on January 22, 2014. These bill impacts should show the bill impacts arising from the difference between rates in effect in November 2013 (shown in the chart above as Current rates) and the newly calculated rates using ORA's proposed parameters.

SDG&E Response 02:

Scenario A (100% revenue requirements):



Scenario A compared to November 2013.xlsx

Scenario B (75% revenue requirements):



Scenario B compared to November 2013.xlsx

Scenario C (50% revenue requirements):



Scenario C compared to November 2013.xlsx

3. Repeat the above analysis except replacing the current rates with Jan 1 2014 rates.

SDG&E Response 03:

Scenario A (100% revenue requirements):

Scenario A compared to January 2014.xlsx

Scenario B (75% revenue requirements):



Scenario B compared to January 2014.xlsx

Scenario C (50% revenue requirements):



Scenario C compared to January 2014.xlsx

4. Repeat the above analysis except replacing the current rates with February 1 2014 rates.

SDG&E Response 04:

Scenario A (100% revenue requirements):

Scenario A compared to February 2014.xlsx

Scenario B (75% revenue requirements):



Scenario B compared to February 2014.xlsx

Scenario C (50% revenue requirements):



Scenario C compared to February 2014.xlsx

Below, also included is a comparison for each scenario with the bills without rate design changes.

Scenario A (100% revenue requirements):



Scenario A compared to Pending Proceedings wo RD.xlsx

Scenario B (75% revenue requirements):



Scenario B compared to Pending Proceedings wo RD.xlsx

Scenario C (50% revenue requirements):



Scenario C compared to Pending Proceedings wo RD.xlsx