

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 3/7/2014 11:07:25 AM
To: 'Tom Long' (tlong@turn.org)
Cc: Jordan, Lise (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=LHJ2); Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted] Nathaniel Skinner
(nathaniel.skinner@cpuc.ca.gov); Bob Finkelstein (bfinkelstein@turn.org)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Draft - Email - GT&S Schedule and Motion

Tom and Nat

Thanks for providing the additional language for the email as requested. PG&E is also amenable to including the following text "** The parties agree to expedite their responses to data requests on rebuttal testimony" in response to your question below.

Regards,

[Redacted]

Regulatory Affairs

[Redacted]

From: Tom Long [mailto:tlong@turn.org]
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2014 10:32 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Nathaniel Skinner; Jordan, Lise (Law); [Redacted]; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Bob Finkelstein
Subject: Re: Draft - Email - GT&S Schedule and Motion

Thanks, Redacted

I've conferred with Nat and here is the language TURN and ORA would like to include in the e-mail.

TURN and ORA support the schedule with the following caveats, conditions:

In its Protest, TURN expressed concern that PG&E's testimony was incomplete with respect to various issues and that pending decisions in other related cases may affect parties' analysis and recommendations in this case. ORA concurs in these concerns. PG&E has agreed to augment its showing with respect to one of the issues identified by TURN -- the reasonableness of its pre-2015 capital expenditures in excess of levels authorized in D.11-04-031 -- before the prehearing conference (PHC), but TURN and ORA will not have had an opportunity to fully assess the completeness of that showing until some time after the PHC. TURN's and ORA's agreement to the schedule set forth above is conditioned on reservation of its their rights to request a modification of the schedule to seek supplemental testimony from PG&E and/or to allow other parties additional time to prepare their testimony in the event of significant supplemental testimony from PG&E or in response to decisions in other related proceedings.

Also, apart from that addendum, would PG&E be amenable to including an asterisk on the September 15th date for rebuttal testimony that says:

"* The parties agree to expedite their responses to data requests on rebuttal testimony."?

Let me know if you have questions or concerns. Thanks.

Tom

Tom Long
Legal Director
The Utility Reform Network (TURN)
tlong@turn.org

(415) 929-8876 x303

On Mar 6, 2014, at 10:51 PM, Redacted wrote:

Tom and Nat

Below is a copy of the draft email we would like to send tomorrow morning in order to give stakeholders as much time as possible to respond by the requested due date.

Please provide the specific language you would like to include in this communication regarding the proposed schedule as discussed this afternoon.

Please give me a call if you have any questions.

Regards,

Redacted

Regulatory Affairs

Redacted

Interested Stakeholders in PG&E's 2015 GT&S Application (A. 13-12-012)

PG&E, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) and TURN have jointly developed the following proposed schedule for consideration by ALJ Wong at the 2015 GT&S Application Prehearing Conference on Wednesday, March 12th.

Additionally, PG&E is proposing November 24th for Opening Briefs and December 19th for Reply Briefs which are subject to change should hearings be shortened and/or some issues are resolved through settlement discussions. As we stated in our Reply to Protests filed February 10, we welcome the opportunity to settle issues and are ready to discuss settlement at any time. These proposed dates will provide interested parties and the Commission an opportunity to plan resources accordingly given the year end holiday schedule and a sense of timing regarding a Commission decision.

	PG&E As Filed	Proposed
Opening Testimony	18-Apr	11-Aug
Rebuttal Testimony	16-May	15-Sep
Hearings, Open	27-May	6-Oct
Hearings, End	6-Jun	24-Oct
Briefs*	23-Jun	24-Nov
Reply Briefs*	9-Jul	19-Dec

*Dates proposed only by PG&E

Please review the proposed schedule and let us know whether your organization can support it. TURN and ORA support the schedule with the following conditions: [Tom/Nat to insert]

Concurrently, PG&E is also circulating a draft of a Motion that PG&E intends to file requesting a Commission order establishing January 1, 2015 as the effective date for PG&E's 2015 GT&S revenue requirement in the event the Commission does not issue a Decision by December 2014. TURN and ORA do not oppose the motion. Please let us know if your organization can support the Motion for Revenue Requirements Effective January 1, 2015. We plan to file the motion by Wednesday, March 12th.

We would like to present a schedule to the ALJ at the Prehearing Conference with as much consensus as possible. We would appreciate your response by Tuesday, March 11th (10 am) by replying to this email.

Regards,

Redacted

Regulatory Affairs

Redacted

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>