

From: Kamins, Sara M.  
Sent: 3/11/2014 10:21:58 AM  
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Cost shift

Thanks! I found from your comments how you calculated the figure for pre-rate reform, but still curious about the post-rate reform number.

"According to the E3 study, the cost shift for PG&E customers in 2017 is projected to be \$448 Million annually for projected adoption of 1,760 MW, or effectively \$255,000 per MW. [FN 21]

[FN 21] This amount is derived from the 2017 "Snapshot Calculations" from the E3 Models and stated in nominal (2017\dollars), as opposed to the 2020 results stated in 2012 dollars reported in the text of the final E3 report. Further, as described in PG&E's opening comments, PG&E believes that E3 understated the magnitude of the cost shift."

Sara

~~~~~

Sara Kamins  
Supervisor, Customer Generation  
California Public Utilities Commission  
(415) 703-1388

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen, Meredith [<mailto:MEAe@pge.com>]  
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2014 6:53 PM  
To: Kamins, Sara M.  
Subject: Re: Cost shift

Hi Sara,

I will track down.

Thanks,  
Meredith

On Mar 10, 2014, at 5:55 PM, "Kamins, Sara M."

<[sara.kamins@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:sara.kamins@cpuc.ca.gov)<<mailto:sara.kamins@cpuc.ca.gov>>> wrote:

Hi Meredith,

Thanks again for the call on Friday on short notice. I have written down that PG&E used a \$255/MW cost shift figure for the calculations pre-rate reform, which was from the E3 report. Could you please point me to where this number comes from exactly? Also, how did you come up with the cost shift per MW number for post-rate reform?

Thanks, Sara

~~~~~

Sara Kamins

Supervisor, Customer Generation

California Public Utilities Commission

(415) 703-1388

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>