| From: | Allen, Meredith |
|-----------|--|
| Sent: | 3/21/2014 3:39:54 PM |
| To: | Murtishaw, Scott <scott.murtishaw@cpuc.ca.gov> (scott.murtishaw@cpuc.ca.gov) (scott.murtishaw@cpuc.ca.gov)</scott.murtishaw@cpuc.ca.gov> |
| Cc: | |
| Bcc: | |
| Subject: | NEM Queue |
| Scott, | |
| they have | e some points that our folks pulled together on the queue issue that we discussed, as some concerns. Not sure where things are in the process but thought I would send ug in case the issue is still being considered. |
| Hope you | have a great weekend! |
| Thanks, | |
| Meredith | |
| | The use of a queue could be abused (queue hogging, selling customers on a free or otion") and create customer confusion. |
| | Solar companies will have time to educate and prepare their customers for the fact that be a shorter grandfathering period for systems installed after the step down date. |
| | |

• Solar companies are used to having to schedule and complete projects before a year-end deadline. We always see a surge of installs in December to maximize tax benefit value. For example, at the end of 2013 50% bonus depreciation expired.

• Interconnection is not a bottleneck. It is required to be completed within 30 days and currently the average time is less than 5 days.

SB_GT&S_0319077