Laura Wisland

n

ł

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Public.advisor <public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov> Friday, March 21, 2014 10:38 AM Laura Wisland RE: two questions related to my NOI filing (due March 24)</public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov>
Dear Ms Wi	sland
	or contacting Publfiid iAndgvisdPrleasseOfrfeif Docket Office to your two questions
another proc	ou have previousolry bfyilleadwsthe aanrdtitchlee ceeding you maymem atkse arnedleirnefnoremato i d to include the perofoieleedding number i
	e service listroff thatp rofe selin gc e s names on the certificate of service
Also we no	ote that the PHC in Rand the day
Hope the inf	formation is helpafnyvlothpelreaqsueesotoinotnasc
Thank you	
	hnician II sor s Office Public Utilities Commission

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 2:56 PM To: Public.advisor Subject: two questions related to my NOI filing (due March 24) Importance: High

Hello,

I just spoke with a public advisor representative on the telephone, and he asked me to put my questions into this email. I am intending to file a Notice of Intent to Claim Intervenor Compensation in proceeding R.13 12 010. My organization, UCS, has been involved in proceedings at the Commission for many years but this is the first time I plan to use your updated NOI form, which I have attached for your reference.

Question #1: Part I, #4 requires: The party's explanation of its customer status must include the percentage of the intervenors members who are residential ratepayers or the percentage of the intervenors members who are customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation, and must include supporting documentation: (i.e., articles of incorporation or bylaws).

My question is, can I include our bylaws by simply referencing the proceeding in which we already filed bylaws with the Commission. For example, the last NOII filed in May 2012 contained information about UCS members who were residential ratepayers, and included this footnote: The Union of Concerned Scientists, Bylaws, Article II. The Bylaws were previously filed with the Docket Office and the assigned Administrative Law Judge in R.04 04 003. Parties may request a copy from the undersigned. Is this still a sufficient way to address the bylaw requirement?

Question #2: The Certificate of Service appears to require that I list all of the persons on the service list. I understand that I need to serve the NOI on all members of the service list, and when I file the NOI with the Commission, I need to include a copy of the service list with that filing. But I have never had to actually list all the names of people on the service list on the document itself. Is this really necessary?

Here's what it says:

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION** by (check as appropriate):

[] hand delivery;[] first-class mail; and/or[] electronic mail

to the following persons appearing on the official Service List:

[Insert names and addresses from official Service List]

Please advise. You can also call me at the number listed below.

Thank you.

Laura Wisland Senior Energy Analyst Union of Concerned Scientists 2397 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 203 Berkeley, CA 94704 Ph: (510) 809 1565 Email: <u>lwisland@ucsusa.org</u> blog: http://blog.ucsusa.org/author/laura wisland

The Union of Concerned Scientists puts rigorous, independent science to work to solve our planet's most pressing problems. Joining with citizens across the country, we combine technical analysis and effective advocacy to create innovative, practical solutions for a healthy, safe, and sustainable future.