

Brian K. Cherry Vice President Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale St., Mail Code B10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

415.973.4977 Fax: 415.973.7226

March 13, 2014

Edward Randolph Director, Energy Division California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: PG&ECompliance with CommissionDecision (D.) 14-01-033

Dear Mr. Randolph:

This letter is wtibteinform you that Pacific Gas and Electric Company(PG&E) has changed its cost at loca in 2014 for the amounts approved by the Commission for Marin Clean Energy's (MCE's) 2013-2014 energy efficient of the approved in Decision (D.) 12-11-015, so that all amounts paid to MCE are text from rates is only an accounting adjustment to comply with the decision and does not affect the amount of PG&E's payment to MCE.

In 2012, MCE (formerly Marin Energy Auth) or applied **add** minister energy efficiency funds in its service unsempt to Public Utilities Sections 381.1. In Decision 12-11-015, the Commissionapproved MCE's energy efficients and directed PG& Eto pay quarterly payments to MCE 12013 and 2000 E's 2013-2014 Pilots include gas measures and anticipate obtainsing mificant gas savings.

PG&E'scurrently approved net geneenefit (expense) ratio is 82% electric and 18% gas and is applied to the reconverting total 2013-2014 ensetting yearcy portfolio in gas public PPPratiensis allocation purpose program (PPP) surcharges electric also applied to the payments madeto MCE, pursuant to an Enderrigion disposition letter dated June 10. 2013 approving MCE'sProgram Implementation Plan: dispbsition letteartesst "With respect to PG&E'sbudget comments, staff vaigneesG&Ethat allocation of MEA's program revenue requirement between PG&E'sedecatnd gas customers should be under the samenet benefit basis as the remainder of the EE portfolio. Staff has

¹ MCE's2013-2014 supplemental PIP completa filting forecasts approximately 600,000 therms of gas savings allocateits four programs

Edward Randolph March 13, 2014 Page 2

determined that this easomable allocation for anypMogAam which offers electric and gas measures?"

Recently, the Commissionissued Decision-0848-0 which defines the rules for Community Choice Aggregators (CCAs) seeking to administer groups efficiency funds pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 381.1. on inheis Sion decided "Community Choice Aggregators submitting applications to administer programs to Section 381.1 shall receive funding only for electricity savings programs minissionalso determined that it: "lack[s] statutory authority Seconden 381.1 to mandate payment to CCAs gas public purpose funds for programs that CCAs administers under the Section 381." (Conclusion of Law 3.)³

PG& Enas revised its rates effective Jaûularytol, racover MCEE program costs from electric customers only so topatartelly payments to Modered in D.12-11-015 will be made exclusively from electric funds to comparison 14-01-033. This change is being made prospectively as PG& Ebelieves D.14-01-033, which became effective in January 2014, supersedes the Energy Divission of MCOErrisplance advice letter on this matter.

If you have a	dditional quest	ions,copteesse	Redacted at	Redacted	or
Redacted	•				

Sincerely,

Brian K. Cherry VP, Regulatory Relations

cc: Hazlyn Fortune, CPUC Energy Division [hcf@cpuc.ca.gov]
Peter Skala, CPUŒnergy Division [ska@cpuc.ca.gov]
Beckie Menten, Marin Clean Energy [bmenten@marinenergy.com]
DawnWeisz, Marin Clean Energy [dweisz@marinenergy.com]
Janice Berman, PG&E [JSBa@pge.com]

² Energy Division disposition aletteeving MEA-003-CCA and MEA-005-CCA, Marin Energy Authority 2013-2014 Energy Efficiency Compliance Filings Pursuant to D.12-11-015, dated June 10, 2013.

³ D.14-01-033, OP 9; See also COL 3: "We lataktutory authority eru&dection 381.1 to mandate payment to CCAsof gas public purpose funds for programs that CCAs administer pursuant to Section 381."