

From: Singh, Sumeet
Sent: 4/10/2014 9:30:26 PM
To: 'Acimis, Banu' (banu.acimis@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Gas Transmission IM Notifications "under review" for CA State Program

Banu,

My sincere apologies regarding the delayed response as I was in an all-day meeting today. The ECDA validation associated with 2.62 miles does not include any segments on StanPac. This pipeline is primarily assessed via ILI.

Please let me know if you require additional information or would like to discuss further.

Thank you.

Sumeet

From: Acimis, Banu [mailto:banu.acimis@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:57 AM
To: Singh, Sumeet
Subject: RE: Gas Transmission IM Notifications "under review" for CA State Program

Hi Sumeet,

I'd like to talk to you about PG&E's IM issues this morning if you have time.

Can you please call me at 916-616-9403 when you get a chance? One of the questions that I need to get an answer urgently is that whether or not any segments of the transmission lines that is required to be validated (out of the 2.62 miles) is a segment of Stan Pac pipelines?

Thank you, Sumeet,

Banu

From: Singh, Sumeet [<mailto:S1St@pge.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 3:53 PM
To: Acimis, Banu
Cc: Rose, Natasha; Robertson, Michael
Subject: RE: Gas Transmission IM Notifications "under review" for CA State Program

Banu,

Per our discussions and your request, attached is the e-mail transmittal associated with item 321 referenced below.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thank you.

Sumeet

From: Acimis, Banu [<mailto:banu.acimis@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Friday, March 28, 2014 1:54 PM

To: Singh, Sumeet
Subject: FW: Gas Transmission IM Notifications "under review" for CA State Program

Sumeet,

Can you please look at the table below before our meeting?

Thanks,

Banu

From: Chris.Mclaren@dot.gov [mailto:Chris.Mclaren@dot.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 28, 2014 5:59 AM
To: Robertson, Michael
Cc: Blaine.Keener@dot.gov; Zach.Barrett@dot.gov
Subject: Gas Transmission IM Notifications "under review" for CA State Program

Michael,

At your earliest convenience, please review the notifications below, and let us know if the CA PUC has concerns.

It is important for us to process these notifications in a timely manner.

#	Type	Date Received	Status	Inter States	Intra States	Commodity	Custom Title	Synopsis	Response Date	Response Basis	Operator ID	Operator
328	PROGRAM	3/5/2013	UNDER REVIEW		CA	NATURAL GAS	Assessment Delay	Due to operations schedules at the plant, the assessment will be delayed from 9-2013 to 4-2014.			32054	SILICON VALLEY POWER
321	PROGRAM	3/10/2013	UNDER REVIEW		CA	NATURAL GAS	Change in Transmission Assessment Plan	The plan details the schedule to conduct transmission integrity assessments. The plans have been developed			15007	PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO

321PROGRAM

UNDER
REVIEW

CA

NATURAL
GAS

Change in
Transmission
Assessment
Plan

based upon risk
assessment and threat
identification
procedures that were
updated in 2012.
The plan details the
schedule to conduct
transmission integrity
assessments. The
plans have been
developed based upon
risk assessment and
threat identification
procedures that were
updated in 2012.

18608STANDARI
PACIFIC
GAS LINE
INC

6/10/2013

Thanks, Chris.

Chris McLaren
US DOT PHMSA PHP-50
chris.mclaren@dot.gov
281-216-4455 office/cellular

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>