

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 4/1/2014 1:39:33 PM
To: Randolph, Edward F. (edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Bcc:
Subject: RE:

I'll check with Meredith but it might be time for a meeting.

From: Randolph, Edward F. [mailto:edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 1:38 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE:

If you don't think you can be in full compliance with the current regulations as outlined in the letter, you should set up a meeting with SED and ED ASAP to discuss.

Edward Randolph | Phone: 415-703-2083 | Cell: 916-601-9635

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 1:36 PM
To: Randolph, Edward F.
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE:

You raise a good point. I believe the intent of the SED letter was to ask us to do more. The letter isn't that clear but we thought that was the message. If the intent is just to follow our best practices, we can do that too – but there are limits to what we can do.

From: Randolph, Edward F. [mailto:edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 1:31 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K

Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE:

We will. I will note that the letter you are referring to does not tell you to do anything beyond meeting current requirements so we are scratching our heads on the need to open a CEMA. I am not saying we wouldn't, but it does seem the IOUs took a knee jerk reaction to the letter and all ran toward the CEMA option before thinking this out.

Edward Randolph | Phone: 415-703-2083 | Cell: 916-601-9635

From: Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 1:28 PM
To: Randolph, Edward F.; Allen, Meredith
Cc: Walker, Cynthia
Subject: RE:

Thanks. Just trying to figure a way to make this work in time before summer is upon us.

From: Randolph, Edward F. [<mailto:edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 1:20 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Cc: Walker, Cynthia
Subject: RE:

Cynthia will help resolve this.

Edward Randolph | Phone: 415-703-2083 | Cell: 916-601-9635

From: Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 3:32 PM
To: Randolph, Edward F.

Subject: FW:

En – thoughts on how to proceed here ?

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 3:32 PM
To: 'Sullivan, Timothy J.'
Subject: RE:

Timothy – sorry I missed you. Yes, I believe Cynthia did get a copy of the old resolution. I don't think there is a decision you could modify – which means I think it has to go back to the ED for them to determine. Let me reach out to Ed Randolph and get his thoughts. Not sure SED has been looped back in either.

From: Sullivan, Timothy J. [<mailto:timothy.sullivan@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 12:04 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE:

Brian,

Thanks for the note. I am out of the office today.

Thanks for the Bark Beetle resolution.

As you probably know, ALJ Division does not do resolutions, so your call to Cynthia was the right first step. Did Cynthia get a copy of the old resolution?

ALJ can modify a decision on its own motion. But which one? Can you tell me the most appropriate?

Is SED aware of the ED response?

Tim

From: Cherry, Brian K [BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 9:14 AM
To: Sullivan, Timothy J.
Subject: FW:

Tim – Attached for your information is a copy of a letter from SED to PG&E asking us to step up our fire prevention efforts as a result of the drought emergency declared by the Governor (and I believe the other electric utilities received similar letters) and associated supporting material. Also below, is a link to the Bark Beetle Resolution that was passed by the Commission in 2003 that allowed the utilities to incur additional expenses under CEMA.

SED asked if we would begin mitigation upon issuance of their letter. We asked SED if we could implement CEMA to capture the additional expenses (since tree trimming is a one-way balancing account approved every GRC cycle). SED asked us to contact Energy Division about that. We contacted Cynthia Walker of ED and she suggested we contact you. So there you have it.

We would like to see the Commission issue a resolution similar in content to that of the Bark Beetle resolution so that we have certainty of incremental recovery. Why? Because if we have to Petition and go through that process we aren't likely to have anything approved until mid-summer. If the Commission did it on its own motion, we could have something voted out in early May and begin our additional mitigation work in advance of the fire season. My question to you is – is this something you are interested in doing and if not, what would you recommend as next steps? Let me know what you think.

From: [Redacted]
Date: March 19, 2014 at 10:47:53 AM PDT
To: "Allen, Meredith" <MEAE@pge.com>
Subject: Resolution ordering CEMA for bark beetle

I found a resolution issued 4/3/03 (see link below) which ordered SCE, Bear Valley Electric, and San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) to work with the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection and other appropriate agencies to “take all reasonable and necessary actions to implement the applicable provisions of the Governor’s State of Emergency Proclamation to mitigate the increased fire hazard by removing dead, dying or diseased trees falling or contacting distribution and transmission lines within their rights of way... .” The Commission also directed the utilities to invoke their CEMA “for fund accounting.”

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/WORD_PDF/FINAL_RESOLUTION/25024.PDF

Under the declared State of Emergency, the utilities can invoke their Catastrophic Event Memo Account (CEMA) for fund accounting, and comply

with their CEMA tariff requirements. The utilities should maintain appropriate documentation of expenditures and justification of actions taken, which are subject to reasonableness review, to comply with the provisions of the Governor’s Proclamation. The utilities are authorized to make annual advice letter filings requesting recovery of the costs of removal and incremental support costs recorded during a specified period in their CEMAs to allow rate recovery of the amounts determined to have been reasonably incurred.

Would we consider pursuing a resolution here to order us to undertake the additional veg mgmt. work so that we have a bit more certainty that we can use CEMA for later cost recovery?

Thx,

Redacte

Redacted

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>