

From: Dietz, Sidney  
Sent: 4/11/2014 8:27:47 PM  
To: 'Campbell, Michael' (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work

Oh, we failed to notify the defaultees b/c we're incompetent (printer stopped the order. Didn't tell anyone. No QC in place.), so we asked for a one-year extension. Paul denied the request (alj roscow?), said we're out of compliance, and that there's no way for us to be in compliance. Tons of nuance. Anyway, I'm getting blamed for it, maybe rightfully. Ugh.

-----Original Message-----

From: Campbell, Michael [mailto:Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov]  
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 7:29 PM  
To: Dietz, Sidney  
Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work

Ag TOU? Huh? Consumer backlash?

> On Apr 11, 2014, at 6:59 PM, "Dietz, Sidney" <SBD4@pge.com> wrote:  
>  
> Over the Ag TOU thing. Not fun.  
>  
> -----  
> Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.  
>  
> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>