

From: Campbell, Michael  
Sent: 4/11/2014 9:35:15 PM  
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work

It really annoyed me that the PGE biz model is to win w the cpuc. It is such a core of the culture, biz lines can be incompetent , and if they get caught out, blame the regulatory team. It is so central, it seems everyone is blind to it. It's why I'm very pessimistic that any real will come from San Bruno. Sigh

Sorry you're getting raked on this one. Ride it out.

MC

> On Apr 11, 2014, at 8:27 PM, "Dietz, Sidney" <SBD4@pge.com> wrote:

>

>

> Oh, we failed to notify the defaultees b/c we're incompetent (printer stopped the order. Didn't tell anyone. No QC in place.), so we asked for a one-year extension. Paul denied the request (alj roscow?), said we're out of compliance, and that there's no way for us to be in compliance. Tons of nuance. Anyway, I'm getting blamed for it, maybe rightfully. Ugh.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Campbell, Michael [<mailto:Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov>]

> Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 7:29 PM

> To: Dietz, Sidney

> Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work

>

> Ag TOU? Huh? Consumer backlash?

>

>> On Apr 11, 2014, at 6:59 PM, "Dietz, Sidney" <SBD4@pge.com> wrote:

>>

>> Over the Ag TOU thing. Not fun.

>>

>> -----

>> Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

>>

>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

>> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

>

>

> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

> To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>