From: Justin Kudo

Sent: 4/28/2014 7:20:40 PM

To: Miller, Karen (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov)

Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Redacted

Cc: Redacted

Redacted dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com (dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com); Christensen, Robin

M (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RMHQ);

kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org (kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org); DeVine, Kyle (kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov); Hill, Juanita (juanita.hill@cpuc.ca.gov); Klaiber, Steven (Steven.Klaiber@cpuc.ca.gov); gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org (gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org); jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com (jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com); jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com

(jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Karen,

Thank you for your guidance and patience during our discussions this morning. This email is to confirm, per Sidney's statement at the end of the meeting, that MCE is in agreement with PG&E regarding using the same methodology for reporting our comparative emissions factors as was used last year.

Please let me know if there's anything else we can provide for other discussion purposes.

Thank you,

Justin Kudo | 415.464.6029

Manager of Account Services

www.mceCleanEnergy.com

On Fri, Apr 25, 2014 at 1:38 PM, Miller, Karen < karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov > wrote:

HiRedacted

Thanks for the heads up. I look forward to seeing all of you on Monday.

YY				
ĸ	a	30	Δ	13
1.	63		•	2 E

From: Redacted

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2014 1:36 PM

To: Miller, Karen

Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; Redacted Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com'; DeVine, Kyle; Hill,

Juanita; Klaiber, Steven; gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org;

'kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org'

Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Karen,

For our meeting on Monday, there are three open issues. They are as follow:

1. PG&E and MCE have agreed to put a footnote under the rate comparison table to state the rate comparison does not include PG&E's pending General Rate Case. We have not reach consensus on the wording of the footnote.

MCE's proposal: This rate comparison does not include PG&E's current General Rate Case request for \$1.28 billion in funding. For more information please visit http://www.grc2014facts.com

PG&E's proposal: This rate comparison does not include PG&E's current General Rate Case request for \$1.28 billion in funding. For more information please visit http://www.grc2014facts.com

2. PG&E and MCE have agreed to change the first sentence in the footnote under the CO₂ Emissions table to read as follows: The CO₂ emission rates reflect emissions associated with PG&E's and MCE's respective energy supplies in 2012.

MCE is considering PG&E's request to remove the second sentence which reads as follows: For the purposes of this chart, renewable energy, hydroelectric and nuclear resources have been considered GHG free.
3. PG&E and MCE have a different calculation methodology for the CO ₂ Emissions. MCE follows the protocols established by The Climate Registry and PG&E follows the protocols established by the California Air Resources Board. Specifically, there are differences in how the unbundled RECS from out of state are treated. At the meeting, both PG&E and MCE will go into further details to explain our positions.
Geof Syphers and Kelly Foley from Sonoma Clean Power will be joining us for the meeting.
If you have any questions, please let me know.
Best regards,
Redacted
Regulatory Case Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Redacted

From: Miller, Karen [mailto:karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2014 2:05 PM To: Redacted
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; Redacted Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com'; DeVine, Kyle; Hill, Juanita; Klaiber, Steven
Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE
Hello all,
I have reserved Room 2204, here at the Commission, for our meeting on Monday, April 28 th , starting at 11:00. I have also set up a telephone conference line - Redacted I set up the conference line so that my LA staff who are working on energy issues can listen to our discussion. I believe it will be an excellent training opportunity. I am hoping that all people who will be actively participating in the discussion will be attending the meeting in person. I will make sure that someone from the PAO is downstairs at the guard desk 10 minutes before the start of our meeting to facilitate everyone signing in and getting a pass.
If you have any questions, do not hesitate to call me at <u>415-703-2299</u> , or send me an email.
I believe that Elaine is going to send me a list of your concerns, hopefully by Friday, so I have time to ponder the issues.
See you all on Monday.
Karen
From: Redacted

Sent: Monday, April 21, 2014 7:47 AM To: Miller, Karen Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; Redacted Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com' Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE Karen. MCE and PG&E are available during the morning of April 28. Would 11 am work for you? Thanks, Redacted Regulatory Case Manager Pacific Gas and Electric Company Redacted From: Miller, Karen [mailto:karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Friday, April 18, 2014 1:46 PM To: Redacted Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; Redacted Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com' Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE Redacted

I am available the morning of April 28th and early that afternoon until 2:00, after which I have meetings. I am in Los Angeles on April 29th, 30th and May 1st, as we have the offsite Commission voting meeting on the 1st, related events the day before, and I have meetings with my LA staff on the 29th. I will be back in the

office on Mary 2 nd .
T
Let me know if the morning of April 28th works for all of you, as that would most likely be the best opportunity.
Karen
From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, April 18, 2014 12:08 PM To: Miller, Karen
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; Redacted Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com' Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE
Karen,
MCE and PG&E would like to tentatively schedule a meeting with you to discuss any outstanding issues on the Joint Rate Comparison Mailer. Please let us know your availability for Monday, April 28 or Tuesday afternoon on April
29.
Best regards,
Redacted
Regulatory Case Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Redacted

From: Redacted Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:43 PM To: 'karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov' (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov) Cc: Dietz, Sidney; dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com; Redacted Christensen, Robin M; jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com; jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE
Dear Karen,
PG&E and MCE are working on the annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer for the customers within MCE's service territory. Several new issues are being discussed as we prepare to finalize the mailer and we are working on resolving them. We are hopeful we will reach resolution on all the items. As a contingency in case there are remaining issues, PG&E and MCE would like to schedule a meeting with you during the week of April 21 to review the outstanding unresolved issues with you. We would appreciate it if you could let us know your availability for that week.
If a meeting is required, we will summarize the open issues for you before we meet.
Best regards,
Redacted
Regulatory Case Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company Redacted

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/

MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy, Learn more