

From: Charles, Melicia  
Sent: 4/1/2014 9:59:11 AM  
To: [Redacted]  
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Storage Interconnection Issues

Thanks for the follow up, [Red] One additional question: outside of the two projects below, what has been the typical turnaround for interconnecting Solar City projects? Thanks much for your help with this!

---

Melicia Charles

Advisor to Commissioner Carla Peterman

California Public Utilities Commission

(415)355-5502

[melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 31, 2014 3:32 PM  
**To:** Charles, Melicia  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** RE: Storage Interconnection Issues

Mel

Thank you for taking the time to meet with us a few days back on this topic. Here are the follow ups from that meeting. Please do let me know if you have any further questions.

Regards

[Redacted]

Q. Have any Solar City projects in PG&E's service territory been delayed for interconnection for 8 months?

A. No. On two projects, Solar City did decide to wait 6 months to interconnect until PG&E's SmartMeter pilot program was operational to achieve savings on their metering costs.

Q. Have any residential projects triggered supplemental review?

A. No, they have not. All of PG&E's residential SGIP applications that are paired with storage passed initial review.

**From:** Charles, Melicia [<mailto:melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 1:37 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K [Redacted]  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** RE: Storage Interconnection Issues

Thanks for the quick response, Brian. And, Anu, thanks for your help with this.

---

Melicia Charles

Advisor to Commissioner Carla Peterman

California Public Utilities Commission

(415)355-5502

[melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 1:18 PM  
**To:** Charles, Melicia; [Redacted]  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** RE: Storage Interconnection Issues

Sure. That's the first I heard about a problem.

[Redacted] – can you follow-up on this for Mel ?

**From:** Charles, Melicia [mailto:melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 1:14 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** Storage Interconnection Issues

Hi Brian-

Good to see you at the tour in San Ramon the other day.

Commissioner Peterman is interested in meeting with some folks from PG&E to discuss the issues with interconnecting customer-side storage systems. From what we understand, PG&E has received hundreds of applications but has only interconnected a handful of projects in the Self-Generation Incentive Program. Commissioner Peterman is interested in better understanding the cause for the delays.

I was wondering if you or one of your colleagues could connect us with some of the interconnection folks – particularly those familiar with the technical issues that have caused the delays. I'll confirm with her assistant, Scott, but the meeting will likely be mid to late February or early March.

Thanks for your time on this issue!

-Mel

---

Melicia Charles

Advisor to Commissioner Carla Peterman

California Public Utilities Commission

(415)355-5502

[melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov)

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>