

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 4/30/2014 10:51:32 AM  
To: Fugere, Raymond G. (raymond.fugere@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE); Moniz-Witten, Tanya (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=TDM0); Yamamoto, Ryan (ryan.yamamoto@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: [Redacted] Letter

Raymond,

Please see the response below regarding your previously emailed questions.

After the audit, PG&E did not conduct any additional work verifications for the inspector in question. This was due to the following reasons:

- The fact that a verification tag is not installed by an inspector does not necessarily mean that the facility was not inspected. It is not uncommon for an inspector, due to human error, to inspect a facility and forget to install the verification tag. In this case, PG&E attributed the missing verification tag to human error, as there was specific evidence, anti-seize applied to the hold down bolt, that indicated that the pad mount transformer had in fact been inspected.
- Five (5) additional, adjacent locations on the same map that were originally inspected by the same inspector were opened and audited by the CPUC. All five contained verification tags and were considered as inspected.

Based on the information above, and based on the evidence that proved that the facility had been inspected, PG&E did not believe that the inspectors work required additional verification.

Yes, PG&E does expect its Compliance Inspectors to follow the verification tag installation procedures.

Jay

**From:** Fugere, Raymond G. [mailto:raymond.fugere@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, April 23, 2014 12:33 PM

**To:** [Redacted]

**Cc:** Yamamoto, Ryan

**Subject:** RE: [Redacted] Letter

[Redacted]

Thank you for the briefing concerning the work verification program underway at PG&E earlier today. I have some follow-up to that briefing. During SED's 2013 audit of PG&E's Los Padres Division (EA2013-007), my staff noted that a "sticker" used by PG&E to track that inspections were done, was not on a transformer that records indicated was recently inspected. After this event, did PG&E conduct and verification work for this inspector? Is yes, what were the results? If not, why not? Lastly, does expect staff to follow its procedures?

Sincerely,

Raymond Fugere