

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 4/25/2014 1:36:02 PM
To: 'Miller, Karen' (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Christensen, Robin M
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RMHQ); Dietz, Sidney
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com' (dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com); DeVine, Kyle
(kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov); Hill, Juanita (juanita.hill@cpuc.ca.gov); Klaiber,
Steven (Steven.Klaiber@cpuc.ca.gov); 'kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org'
(kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org); gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org
(gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org); 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'
(jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com); 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com'
(jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Karen,

For our meeting on Monday, there are three open issues. They are as follow:

1. PG&E and MCE have agreed to put a footnote under the rate comparison table to state the rate comparison does not include PG&E's pending General Rate Case. We have not reach consensus on the wording of the footnote.

MCE's proposal: This rate comparison does not include PG&E's current General Rate Case request for \$1.28 billion in funding. For more information please visit <http://www.grc2014facts.com>

PG&E's proposal: This rate comparison does not include PG&E's current General Rate Case request for ~~\$1.28 billion in funding~~. For more information please visit <http://www.grc2014facts.com>

2. PG&E and MCE have agreed to change the first sentence in the footnote under the CO₂ Emissions table to read as follows: The CO₂ emission rates reflect emissions associated with PG&E's and MCE's respective energy supplies in 2012.

MCE is considering PG&E's request to remove the second sentence which reads as follows:
For the purposes of this chart, renewable energy, hydroelectric and nuclear resources have been considered GHG free.

3. PG&E and MCE have a different calculation methodology for the CO₂ Emissions. MCE follows the protocols established by The Climate Registry and PG&E follows the protocols established by the California Air Resources Board. Specifically, there are differences in how the unbundled RECS from out of state are treated. At the meeting, both PG&E and MCE will go into further details to explain our positions.

Geof Syphers and Kelly Foley from Sonoma Clean Power will be joining us for the meeting.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Best regards,

Redacted

Regulatory Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Miller, Karen [mailto:karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2014 2:05 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; [Redacted] Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com'; DeVine, Kyle; Hill, Juanita; Klaiber, Steven

Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Hello all,

I have reserved Room 2204, here at the Commission, for our meeting on Monday, April 28th, starting at 11:00. I have also set up a telephone conference line - [Redacted] Participant code: [Redacted] I set up the conference line so that my LA staff who are working on energy issues can listen to our discussion. I believe it will be an excellent training opportunity. I am hoping that all people who will be actively participating in the discussion will be attending the meeting in person. I will make sure that someone from the PAO is downstairs at the guard desk 10 minutes before the start of our meeting to facilitate everyone signing in and getting a pass.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to call me at 415-703-2299, or send me an email.

I believe that Elaine is going to send me a list of your concerns, hopefully by Friday, so I have time to ponder the issues.

See you all on Monday.

Karen

From: [Redacted] [Redacted]

Sent: Monday, April 21, 2014 7:47 AM

To: Miller, Karen

Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; [Redacted]
'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com'

Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Karen,

MCE and PG&E are available during the morning of April 28. Would 11 am work for you?

Thanks,

Redacted

Regulatory Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Miller, Karen [<mailto:karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Friday, April 18, 2014 1:46 PM

To: Redacted

Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; Redacted Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com'

Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Hi Elaine,

I am available the morning of April 28th and early that afternoon until 2:00, after which I have meetings. I am in Los Angeles on April 29th, 30th and May 1st, as we have the offsite Commission voting meeting on the 1st, related events the day before, and I have meetings with my LA staff on the 29th. I will be back in the office on May 2nd.

Let me know if the morning of April 28th works for all of you, as that would most likely be the

best opportunity.

Karen

From: [Redacted] [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, April 18, 2014 12:08 PM
To: Miller, Karen
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; 'dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com'; [Redacted] Christensen, Robin M; 'jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com'; 'jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com'
Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Karen,

MCE and PG&E would like to tentatively schedule a meeting with you to discuss any outstanding issues on the Joint Rate Comparison Mailer. Please let us know your availability for Monday, April 28 or Tuesday afternoon on April 29.

Best regards,

[Redacted]

Regulatory Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:43 PM

To: 'karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov' (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; dweisz@mcecleanenergy.com; Redacted Christensen, Robin M;
jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.com; jkudo@mcecleanenergy.com
Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Dear Karen,

PG&E and MCE are working on the annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer for the customers within MCE's service territory. Several new issues are being discussed as we prepare to finalize the mailer and we are working on resolving them. We are hopeful we will reach resolution on all the items. As a contingency in case there are remaining issues, PG&E and MCE would like to schedule a meeting with you during the week of April 21 to review the outstanding unresolved issues with you. We would appreciate it if you could let us know your availability for that week.

If a meeting is required, we will summarize the open issues for you before we meet.

Best regards,

Redacted

Regulatory Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>