Sent: 4/11/2014 10:10:11 PM To: 'Campbell, Michael' (Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov) Cc: Bcc: Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work It really is. My good news almost overwhelmed getting eaten alive. ----Original Message----From: Campbell, Michael [mailto:Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 9:49 PM To: Dietz, Sidney Cc: Campbell, Michael Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work Oh man. It's like fucking Christmas!! > On Apr 11, 2014, at 9:46 PM, "Dietz, Sidney" <SBD4@pge.com> wrote: > So true. I think of that model as a reflection of another aspect -- it's all about the shareholders, not the workers. So we're willing to starve stuff, and there's no aesthetic of our work, it's all cobbled together. The utilities I most respect gold plate the shit out of everything, overpay their workers, and hook you up instantly. And of course, they are in deep with their political machine. In that case, "that's just how we do things" is acceptable and works for lots of decisions because it means "we do things properly." The best cooperatives are like this, and even some privates. I don't know a muni that does it right, though, although people tell me I wouldn't dislike smud. > Anyway, I'll ride it out. Perhaps it will keep me out of the running for sr. director, which would be good! > I ordered the gunnar/waterford tig today. Purple. It's going to be a rando/commuter. Also want a bi-lam like: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v1/url?u=https://www.flickr.com/photos/30361758%40N08/5691858884/&k=4% > > from https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v1/url?u=http://www.lyonsport.com/&k=4%2BViHuL0UtSJBpVrYi3EdQ%3D% > I'm excited about the new bike, and Renata is actually happy with me for getting a luxury item (!). Also in the good news column, I love the Sienna. It's really fun to drive it around. Fast enough, big, and easy. I even thought about upgrading the Nav computer to eek another few years out of it when it gets staler. > And I saved the best news for last -- my neighbor sneered news at me that he's moving to Mill Valley this summer. They're showing the house in June. My guess -- they'll get 850k.

From:

Dietz, Sidney

```
>
> ----Original Message----
> From: Campbell, Michael [mailto:Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov]
> Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 9:35 PM
> To: Dietz, Sidney
> Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work
> It really annoyed me that the PGE biz model is to win w the cpuc. It is such a core of the culture, biz lines can
be incompetent, and if they get caught out, blame the regulatory team. It is so central, it seems everyone is blind
to it. It's why I'm very pessimistic that any real will come from San Bruno. Sigh
>
> Sorry you're getting raked on this one. Ride it out.
> MC
>> On Apr 11, 2014, at 8:27 PM, "Dietz, Sidney" <SBD4@pge.com> wrote:
>>
>> Oh, we failed to notify the defaultees b/c we're incompetent (printer stopped the order. Didn't tell anyone. No
QC in place.), so we asked for a one-year extension. Paul denied the request (alj roscow?), said we're out of
compliance, and that there's no way for us to be in compliance. Tons of nuance. Anyway, I'm getting blamed for
it, maybe rightfully. Ugh.
>>
>> ----Original Message----
>>> From: Campbell, Michael [mailto:Michael.Campbell@cpuc.ca.gov]
>> Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 7:29 PM
>> To: Dietz, Sidney
>> Subject: Re: Getting savaged at work
>>
>> Ag TOU? Huh? Consumer backlash?
>>> On Apr 11, 2014, at 6:59 PM, "Dietz, Sidney" <SBD4@pge.com> wrote:
>>> Over the Ag TOU thing. Not fun.
>>> ------
>>> Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.
>>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
>>> To learn more, please visit <a href="http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/">http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</a>
>>
>> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
>> To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/
> PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
```

> To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/