

From: Zafar, Marzia
Sent: 5/7/2014 2:18:08 PM
To: Zafar, Marzia (marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: White, Richard (Richard.White@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: CPUC's Safety Policy/Strategy

Hello Everyone,

I'm extending my brainstorming with others, because this process worked out so well in the recent issued straw proposal in the Risk OIR (R.13-11-006). If you have any ideas/thoughts/direction, please don't hesitate to contact me or my colleague, Richard White.

About a month ago, President Peevey assigned Comr. Picker to be the lead "Safety Commissioner". In that meeting Pres. Peevey asked for a report back from Comr. Picker on what should be the PUC's safety policies/objectives from the perspective of the Safety Commissioner. Comr. Picker is gathering information from both internal and external stakeholders. And this leads me to you.

Think of the Commission's Energy Action Plan. No, we don't want a Safety Action Plan, rather we want a defined and adopted Safety Policy with a clearly stated vision, goal, action items, a vehicle to measure our progress. Essentially, I would like to help Comr. Picker with a set of options for his consideration.

One idea is to list our objectives, define them, define the tools to accomplish the objectives and lastly define any needs that must be filled. Here's one potential structure. Any ideas are welcome. Please let me know by end of this week, May 9th.
Are these the right objectives? Is there a better structure? Any ideas? Do we even need a document detailing this stuff? so on and so forth...

➤• Structure of a Safety Policy document for the Commission to consider

Safety Objectives – (these must be clearly defined)

- 1) Policies to reduce injuries to the public
- 2) Policies to reduce worker injuries
- 3) Policies to reduce property damage
- 4) Policies to reduce environmental damage
- 5) Policies to INCREASE resilience of utility infrastructure

CPUC tools –(The three tools that the CPUC can use to achieve the objectives)

- 1) Enforcement
- 2) Oversight / information management - This includes risk assessment and records keeping oversight
- 3) Accountability (i.e. how do we ensure that the Commission and staff is trained to do this and how do we inform the public)
- 4) Process for evaluating utility proposals for infrastructure additions/removal/replacement

Next steps include – (what is needed)

- 1) Risk OIR
- 2) Development of Safety Metrics to assess progress of objectives
- 3) Inventory and risk assessment of existing safety programs
- 4) CPUC skills assessment

Marzia Zafar - Director, Policy & Planning Division

California Public Utilities Commission | zaf@cpuc.ca.gov | 415-703-1997