PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Community Choice Aggregation OIR 2012 Rulemaking 12-02-009 Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	ED_001-03		
PG&E File Name:	CommunityChoiceAggregationOIR-2012_DR_ED_001-Q03		
Request Date:	May 5, 2014*	Requester DR No.:	001
Date Sent:	May 20, 2014	Requesting Party:	Energy Division
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Edward Randolph

QUESTION 3

Describe and list all communications and documents that indicates any meetings (whether in person or not), correspondence (whether written or electronic) that any of PG&E's staff and/or Officers have had since December 31, 2012 to plan, guide or fund IBEW 1245's lobbying activities against any proposed or active CCAs.

Answer 3

PG&E staff and officers have not had any meetings, correspondence or other communications since December 31, 2012 to plan, guide or fund IBEW 1245's lobbying activities against any proposed or active CCAs, as lobbying is defined in the CPUC's CCA Code of Conduct decision adopted by D.12-12-036. PG&E specifically denies that it saw any draft of or discussed in advance with the IBEW 1245 the IBEW communication to Marin residents that was attached to the Energy Division data request.

PG&E has discussed various legislative proposals with the IBEW, including Assembly Bill 2145, which proposes to change current CCA law. However, while PG&E has expressed support for this bill at the state legislature, its communications about this bill have scrupulously adhered to the CPUC restrictions on CCA marketing and lobbying adopted in Decision 12-12-036.

^{*} Email received on May 6, 2014