From:	Beckie Menten	
Sent:	5/9/2014 4:22:03 PM	
To:	Redacted .	Beckie
	Menten (bmenten@marinenergy.com)	
	Pitcock, Maril (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MxWL):	
Cc:	jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov (jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted	
		n Weisz
	(dweisz@marinenergy.com); Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Redacted  Redacted	
	Redacted	
	Redacted ; Shalini Swaroop	)
	(sswaroop@MarinEnergy.com); Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy Morse (gmorse@mcecleanenergy.com); Pol Sandro-Yepes (psandro-yepes@noblesolutions.com); Redacted	.com); Greg
Bcc:	<u> </u>	
Subject:	t: RE: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion	
3	1	
Hello all;	,	
ast I che – is that : out I cou	d to follow up and see if we could get an update on where this process statecked with Nobel, we were a few weeks away from being able to test the still the case? I don't believe I ever received an implementation schedulard be out of the loop. We have moved forward with marketing the prograto get some interest and potential projects, so it would be good to clarify	functionality e from PG&E am and are
Thanks,		
Beckie Me	1enten	
MCE		
(415) 464	4-6034	
omenten@	@mceCleanEnergy.org	
_		
From: Re		
<b>Sent:</b> Thu	ursday, February 13, 2014 5:41 PM	
ro: вескі Сс: jean.l	kie Menten .lamming@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Redacted	; Pitcock,

<u>Maril;</u> Elizabeth Kelly ( <u>ekelly@marinenergy.com</u>			Dietz, S	idney; Redacted
Redac ; Pol Sandro-Yepes; Greg Morse; Shalini Subject: RE: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discuss		ed		
		3.6.6	,	
Thanks Beckie, we will take a look and provimplementation when the advice letter is ap		vve can	contirm t	ne timeline of the
Thanks,				
riains,				
Data				
Reda				
From Book's Martin Frontischer (Constitution				
From: Beckie Menten [mailto:bmenten@marine Sent: Thursday. February 13, 2014 9:55 AM	energy.comj			
	ing@cpuc.ca.gov			
(ekelly@marinenergy.com); (Redacted	Pitcock, Maril; Eli Dietz, Sid	zabe <u>th Kel</u> ney; Redac		Pol Sandro-Yepes;
Greg Morse; Shalini Swaroop  Subject: Re: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discuss	ion			

Re da et al;

Thank you for submitting the Advice Letter re: the MCE OBR program. Attached, please find a revised version of the OBR Agreement reflecting the changes we think are necessary to move forward. If you feel a discussion on this would be helpful, let us know some times that might work for you. Otherwise, we'll look forward to seeing your comments on the attached draft. It would be great if you could also let us know a timeline for implementation.

Thanks,

-Beckie Menten

On Fri, Jan 10, 2014 at 10:04 AM, Redacted	wrote:
Everyone,	
In order to ensure that we can complete this project in a timely manne we execute an agreement to clearly define the scope of this work. He would like to review for the discussion today.	
Talk to you at 11.	
Thanks,	
Reda	
Overview	
At the direction of the Energy Division, PG&E is working with MCE to a functionality to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency pil 11-015. PG&E proposes a PG&E/MCE Memorandum of Understanding parties' agreement and clearly define the product that will be developed.	lots authorized in D.12- ng (MOU) to outline the
The MOU should address the following:	
•□□□□□□□ PG&E has been directed by the Energy Division to develop MCE financing pilots approved by the Commission.	this functionality for the
•□□□□□□□ PG&E will submit an advice letter to the Commission requefunds approved for the statewide energy efficiency pilots be shifted to	

which will be provided by MCE. IT work will begin after funding is approved.
•□□□□□□□ MCE will be charged a fee per customer bill which will equal the fees MCE pays to PG&E for CCA billing, as may be revised from time to time.
•□□□□□□□ The billing functionality will be limited to customers, lenders and projects eligible for the MCE OBR pilots approved in D-12-11-015.
•□□□□□□□ PG&E will provide MCE an example customer bill based on MCE's specifications for its review and approval.
•□□□□□□ MCE agrees to utilize the existing line item billing functionality as described by PG&E. Variations of this functionality will increase the cost of this effort.
•□□□□□□□ This functionality will be the sole functionality created to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency finance pilots. The CCA page would not be modified for this purpose at a later date.
•□□□□□□□ PG&E and MCE will update the existing OBR Agreement which was executed on October 8 <sup>a</sup> , 2013 after the IT work is completed.
Redacted
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Principal Product Manager, Financing edacted
Original Appointment  From: Redacted  Sent: Friday December 20. 2013 4:02 PM  To: Redacted  (dweisz@marinenergy.com); [Redacted]; 'jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov'; Dawn Weisz  (dweisz@marinenergy.com); [Redacted]; Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly  (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Beckie Menten; (Redacted)  Subject: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion  When: Friday January 10. 2014 11:00 AM-11:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

All-		
		call to discuss any updates regarding utilizing LIB functionality to add additional o the PG&E bill that are not ordered by the CPUC. Examples include:
	-An MCE	departed load charge
	-Battery S	torage charges
	-Other futu	ure charges to support new CCA services
Redacte	ed	
Tha	nks for you	r time-
Redact	ced	Principal Account Manager

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <a href="http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/">http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</a>

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more at: <a href="https://www.mceCleanEnergy.org/privacy">www.mceCleanEnergy.org/privacy</a>	
PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit <a href="http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/">http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/</a>	
MCE is committed to protecting sustainer privacy. Learn more	