

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 5/16/2014 2:07:16 PM
To: Beckie Menten (bmenten@marinenergy.com); Beckie Menten (bmenten@mcecleanenergy.org)
Cc: Pitcock, Maril (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MxWL); jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov (jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted] Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted] Shalini Swaroop (sswaroop@MarinEnergy.com); Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Greg Morse (gmorse@mcecleanenergy.com); Pol Sandro-Yepes (psandro-yepes@noblesolutions.com); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

Hi Beckie,

Sorry for the delay, we were out of the office for the first part of this week. We have been in coordination with the IT resources and testing team on our end as well as with Joby Paradiso at Noble. Noble has been very responsive. Since the implementation team last discussed with Noble there were some issues with the underlying platform that were identified. These were due to the system being new and as we implemented MCE as a new vendors limitations were identified. We believe that we have addressed these and they should be resolved for the live environment.

Here is the plan going forward.

1. We expect to complete testing next week. Includes connectivity testing and a de-enrollment have been resolved.
2. We have some additional configuration work to do which will be updated in the live system by May 30th if we can get it scheduled for the May release, otherwise, June 6th would be the next release. We anticipate that our request could be scheduled for May 30th but this is dependent on the IT team's ability to schedule the service request.
3. We have updated the OBR contract that we will have to complete before going live. It is attached for MCE review, let us know when you have a chance to review.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks and have a great weekend,

Redacted

From: Beckie Menten [mailto:bmenten@mcecleanenergy.org]

Sent: Friday, May 09, 2014 4:22 PM

Redacted Beckie Menten

Cc: jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz; Redacted Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly; Redacted Dietz, Sidney; Redacted Pol Sandro-Yepes; Greg Morse; Shalini

Swaroop; [Redacted]
Subject: RE: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

Hello all;

I wanted to follow up and see if we could get an update on where this process stands. The last I checked with Nobel, we were a few weeks away from being able to test the functionality – is that still the case? I don't believe I ever received an implementation schedule from PG&E, but I could be out of the loop. We have moved forward with marketing the program and are starting to get some interest and potential projects, so it would be good to clarify this piece soon.

Thanks,

Beckie Menten

MCE

(415) 464-6034

bmenten@mceCleanEnergy.org

[Redacted]

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 5:41 PM

To: Beckie Menten

Cc: jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); [Redacted] Pitcock,

Maril Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); [Redacted] Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted]

[Redacted] Pol Sandro-Yepes; Greg Morse; Shalini Swaroop; [Redacted]

Subject: RE: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

Thanks Beckie, we will take a look and provide comments. We can confirm the timeline of the implementation when the advice letter is approved.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

From: Beckie Menten [mailto:bmenten@marinenergy.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 9:55 AM

[Redacted]

C [Redacted] Jean Jamming@cpuc.ca.gov; Dawn Weisz

(dweisz@marinenergy.com); [Redacted] Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly

(ekelly@marinenergy.com); [Redacted] Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted] Pol Sandro-Yepes;

Greg Morse; Shalini Swaroop

Subject: Re: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

[Redacted]

Thank you for submitting the Advice Letter re: the MCE OBR program. Attached, please find a revised version of the OBR Agreement reflecting the changes we think are necessary to move forward. If you feel a discussion on this would be helpful, let us know some times that might work for you. Otherwise, we'll look forward to seeing your comments on the attached draft. It would be great if you could also let us know a timeline for implementation.

Thanks,

-Beckie Menten

On Fri, Jan 10, 2014 at 10:04 AM,

[Redacted]

Everyone,

In order to ensure that we can complete this project in a timely manner PG&E suggests that we execute an agreement to clearly define the scope of this work. Here is a summary that we would like to review for the discussion today.

Talk to you at 11.

Thanks,

Redacted

Overview

At the direction of the Energy Division, PG&E is working with MCE to develop the IT functionality to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency pilots authorized in D.12-11-015. PG&E proposes a PG&E/MCE Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to outline the parties' agreement and clearly define the product that will be developed.

The MOU should address the following:

- PG&E has been directed by the Energy Division to develop this functionality for the MCE financing pilots approved by the Commission.
- PG&E will submit an advice letter to the Commission requesting that some of the funds approved for the statewide energy efficiency pilots be shifted to fund the IT work needed by MCE. The amount requested will be based on PG&E's initial estimates of the billing costs, which will be provided by MCE. IT work will begin after funding is approved.
- MCE will be charged a fee per customer bill which will equal the fees MCE pays to PG&E for CCA billing, as may be revised from time to time.
- The billing functionality will be limited to customers, lenders and projects eligible for the MCE OBR pilots approved in D-12-11-015.
- PG&E will provide MCE an example customer bill based on MCE's specifications for its review and approval.
- MCE agrees to utilize the existing line item billing functionality as described by PG&E. Variations of this functionality will increase the cost of this effort.
- This functionality will be the sole functionality created to bill non-MCE customers for MCE's energy efficiency finance pilots. The CCA page would not be modified for this purpose

at a later date.

- PG&E and MCE will update the existing OBR Agreement which was executed on October 8th, 2013 after the IT work is completed.

Redacted

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Principal Product Manager, Financing

Redacted

Redacted

Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 4:02 PM

Redacted; 'jean.lamming@cpuc.ca.gov'; Dawn Weisz (dweisz@marinenergy.com); Redacted; Alfred Pitcock, Maril; Elizabeth Kelly (ekelly@marinenergy.com); Beckie Menten; Redacted

Subject: MCE/PG&E LIB follow up discussion

When: Friday, January 10, 2014 11:00 AM-11:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Redacted

All-

Please join the call to discuss any updates regarding utilizing LIB functionality to add additional MCE charges to the PG&E bill that are not ordered by the CPUC. Examples include:

- An MCE departed load charge
- Battery Storage charges
- Other future charges to support new CCA services

Redacted

Thanks for your time-

Redacted

Principal Account Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

R
e
d
a
c
t
e
d

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more at: www.mceCleanEnergy.org/privacy

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

MCE is committed to protecting customer privacy. Learn more at: www.mceCleanEnergy.org/privacy