

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 5/13/2014 8:50:20 AM
To: "karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov" (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov)
(karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Dietz, Sidnev (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted]; 'Dawn Weisz
(dweisz@mcecleanenergy.org)' (dweisz@mcecleanenergy.org); 'Justin Kudo
(jkudo@mcecleanenergy.org)' (jkudo@mcecleanenergy.org); Christensen, Robin M
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RMHQ);
'gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org' (gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org);
'kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org' (kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org); 'Jamie Tuckey
(jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.org)' (jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.org); 'Klaiber, Steven'
(Steven.Klaiber@cpuc.ca.gov); 'DeVine, Kyle (kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov)'
(kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Hill, Juanita (juanita.hill@cpuc.ca.gov)'
(juanita.hill@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Karen,

This is to follow up on our request for your review and approval of PG&E's and MCE's Joint Rate Comparison mailer. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Thank you,

[Redacted]

Regulatory Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 07, 2014 12:04 PM
To: 'karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov' (karen.miller@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: [Redacted] Dietz, Sidney; Justin Kudo (jkudo@mcecleanenergy.org); Dawn Weisz (dweisz@mcecleanenergy.org); Jamie Tuckey (jtuckey@mcecleanenergy.org); DeVine, Kyle (kyle.devine@cpuc.ca.gov); Hill, Juanita (juanita.hill@cpuc.ca.gov); Klaiber, Steven; gsyphers@sonomacleanpower.org; kfoley@sonomacleanpower.org; Christensen, Robin M
Subject: RE: Annual Joint Rate Comparison Mailer - PG&E and MCE

Dear Karen,

Thank you for working with us on April 28 to resolve the open issues on the Joint Rate Comparison mailer. PG&E and MCE have agreed to the following:

1. Language under the rate comparison chart to state the following: “This rate comparison does not include PG&E’s current General Rate Case request for funding. For more information please visit grc2014facts.com.”
2. The language under the CO₂ emissions chart to retain the second sentence to state the following: “For the purposes of this chart, renewable energy, hydroelectric and nuclear resources have been considered GHG free.
3. The CO₂ emissions calculation will use the same methodology as the previous year by each party.

There will be five mailers and all customers within MCE’s service area will receive one of them depending on the rate schedule the customer is on:

- Residential E-1/RES-1
- [Redacted] Small commercial A-1 non-TOU/COM-1 non-TOU
- [Redacted] Medium Commercial A-6 / COM-6
- [Redacted] Medium Commercial A-10S non-TOU/COM-10 non-TOU
- [Redacted] Large Commercial E-19S/COM-19S

Attached is a residential rate comparison mailer for your review and approval which incorporates the items above. The specific numbers in each of the chart will be updated when

the data is available from PG&E and MCE.

Please review and approve this mailer. PG&E and MCE are available to answer any questions you may have.

Best regards,

Redacted

Regulatory Case Manager

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted