BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 11-05-005 (Filed May 5, 2011)

2014 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROCUREMENT PLAN OF TIGER NATURAL GAS, INC. (PUBLIC)

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the March 26, 2014 Assigned Commissioner's Ruling Identifying Issues and Schedule of Review for 2014 Renewables Portfolio Standard Plans ("ACR") and the May 29, 2014 email ruling of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") DeAngelis extending the due date for the submission of such plans, Tiger Natural Gas, Inc. ("TNG") hereby submits this 2014 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan ("RPS Plan"). As required by the ACR, TNG's RPS Plan consists of the information described in sections 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.6, 6.12 and 6.14 of the ACR. TNG does not have any comments on the issues in section 7 of the ACR.

In accordance with the May 21, 2014 Administrative Law Judge's Ruling on Residual Net Short ("ALJ Ruling"), this RPS Plan also includes a Residual Net Short ("RNS") filing in the form of the template attached as Appendix C to Attachment A of the ALJ Ruling, as well as responses to the questions posed in Appendix D to Attachment A of said ruling.

II. RPS PROCUREMENT PLAN

6.1. Assessment of RPS Portfolio Supplies and Demand - § 399.13(a)(5)(A)

TNG currently serves a relatively small portion of the state's direct access ("DA") load under contracts with annual or month-to-month terms. Absent legislation further increasing the amount of load that is eligible for DA service, TNG does not expect that portion to change significantly during the ten-year planning horizon, much less the twenty-year time frame, covered by this RPS Plan. TNG expects to meet its RPS obligations for the 2014-2017 compliance period though a mix of bundled and REC-only transactions. Similarly, TNG plans to meet its RPS obligations for future compliance periods through a mix of bundled and REC-only transactions. The exact portfolio mix will depend the pricing that is available for various products, as well as the applicable portfolio category requirements; however, TNG anticipates that most if not all of the RPS-eligible energy procures will be from in-state resources and/or resources that have their first point of interconnection with a California balancing authority. TNG does not expect to make any capital investments in new renewable generation capacity during the planning period; however, TNG will plan to comply with the minimum long-term contracting quota, which will indirectly support the development of such capacity.

6.2. Project Development Status Update - § 399.13(a)(5)(D)

TNG has no information to report in this section, as TNG has not entered into any contracts with facilities that are not yet in commercial operation.

6.3. Potential Compliance Delays - § 399.13(a)(5)(B)

Given the straightforward nature of TNG's RPS procurement strategy (see Section 1 above), TNG does not anticipate any compliance delays for the 2014-2017 compliance period. If, however, fundamental barriers to the development of new renewable generation facilities that may be needed to meet the state's overall 33% RPS goal arise during the 2014-2033 period, any

corresponding deficiency in the overall amount of RPS products could possibly result in compliance delays for TNG. If and when any such potential compliance delays become evident, TNG will identify those barriers and address in future RPS Procurement Plans the steps it plans to take to account for and minimize their impact on the company's RPS compliance.¹

6.4. Risk Assessment - § 399.13(a)(5)(F)

TNG has no information to report in this section, as TNG has not entered into any contracts with facilities that are not yet in commercial operation.

6.6. "Minimum Margin of Procurement" - § 399.13(a)(4)(D)

TNG has no information to report in this section, as TNG has not entered into any contracts with facilities that are not yet in commercial operation.

6.12. Important Changes to Plans Noted

TNG has not made any important changes in this RPS plan as compared to the company's 2013 RPS Plan.

6.14. Safety Considerations

Given that TNG does not own, operate or control any RPS-eligible generation facilities, the company's RPS plan does not give rise to any safety considerations.

¹ TNG encountered unexpected difficulty at the end of 2013 in purchasing the additional bundled RPS products needed to meet its residual RPS compliance obligations for the 2011-2013 compliance period. At that time, TNG was informed by the company's procurement agents that Category 2 and Category 1 products had been largely if not completely bought up by the end of September and the end of November, respectively. In light of that experience, TNG will endeavor to purchase sufficient RPS products to meet all of its estimated residual RPS obligations for the 2014-2017 compliance period earlier in the last year of the compliance period.

III. RESPONSES TO ALJ QUESTIONS

RPS Compliance Risk

1. How do current and historical performance of online resources in your RPS portfolio impact future projections of RPS deliveries and your subsequent RNS?

There is no impact, as TNG currently does not have any RPS contracts with forward delivery obligations that are dependent on the performance of a specific RPS-eligible generator.

2. Do you anticipate any future changes to the current bundled retail sales forecast? If so, describe how the anticipated changes impact the RNS.

As a non-utility retail seller, TNG does not forecast "bundled retail sales."

3. Do you expect curtailment of RPS projects to impact your projected RPS deliveries and subsequent RNS?

No, unless the impact is so large as to impair the ability of non-utility retail sellers to meet their RPS obligations.

4. Are there any significant changes to the success rate of individual RPS projects that impact the RNS?

TNG has no information on this subject.

5. As projects in development move towards their COD, are there any changes to the expected RPS deliveries? If so, how do these changes impact the RNS?

TNG has no information on this subject.

RECs above the Procurement Quantity Requirement

6. What is the appropriate amount of RECs above the PQR to maintain? Please provide a quantitative justification and elaborate on the need for maintaining banked RECs above the PQR.

This topic is not applicable to TNG, as the company is not under any requirement to procure RECs in excess of the company's RPS obligations.

7. What are your strategies for short-term management (10 years forward) and long-term management (10-20 years forward) of RECs above the PQR? Please discuss any plans to use RECs above the PQR for future RPS compliance and/or to sell RECs above the PQR.

See response to Question 6.

Voluntary Margin of Over-Procurement

8. Provide VMOP on both a short-term (10 years forward) and long-term (10-20 years forward) basis. This should include a discussion of all risk factors and a quantitative justification for the amount of VMOP.

See response to Question 6.

9. Please address the cost-effectiveness of different methods for meeting any projected VMOP procurement need, including application of forecast RECs above the PQR.

See response to Question 6.

Cost-effectiveness

10. Are there cost-effective opportunities to use banked RECs above the PQR for future RPS compliance in lieu of additional RPS procurement to meet the RNS?

TNG currently has no opinion on this topic.

11. How does your current RNS fit within the regulatory limitations for PCCs? Are there opportunities to optimize your portfolio by procuring RECs across different PCCs?

TNG currently has no opinion on this topic.

IV. CONFIDENTIALITY

Ordering Paragraph 9 of Decision ("D.") 08-04-023 provides that "An ESP or IOU need not seek confidentiality of regular compliance filings every time it files, but only the first time. The ESP or IOU may simply cite a prior ruling or motion when making subsequent compliance filings." On June 27, 2013, TNG filed a motion to file the company's 2013 RPS Plan under seal, wherein TNG requested, pursuant to D.06-06-006 the ESP Matrix (as modified by D.08-04-023),

that the Commission maintain the confidentiality of certain information set forth in the 2013 RPS Plan. TNG's 2013 RPS Plan was approved in D.13-11-024. TNG cites the aforesaid motion in requesting confidential treatment for such information as has been redacted in the Public version of this RPS Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

R. F. "Bob" Smith, P.E.

Executive Vice President Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

1422 E. 71st St., Suite J

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74136

(918) 491-6998

bsmith@tigernaturalgas.com

June 11, 2014

A	Variable	Calculation	Item	to Reporting Year							••••	
Annual 150 March 150			Forecast Year						;**I	(6.1)		CP2
Main the land that do the land the la			Annual RPS Requirement									
Avg New York Partnerson (Appeting Registrement (CAP) Colore No New York Partnerson (Appeting Registrement (CAP) Colore No New York Partnerson (Appeting Registrement (CAP) Colore No New York Partnerson (CAP) Colore No New York	A		Bundled Retail Sales Forecast (LTPP)		24,734	569						
A (1) Cleane of Per Personation (CVPA)	8		RPS Procurement Quantity Requirement (%)									
Color Voluntary Marginat Controvament Voluntary Marginator Operations Voluntary Marginary Ma	U	A*B	ent									
C-CP Nat REP Act (2014)	D		Voluntary Margin of Over-procurement				,	ι	ş	,	3	ı
Park Striggick Procuration Park Addition of Control Figure 1 Park Addition of	ili	C±D	Net RPS Procurement Need (GWh)									
State A bignown REC into not often of containing the state of the st			RPS-Eligible Procurement									
Record Figure State for Other Cancer State State of Other Cancer State State of Other Cancer State S	Fa		Risk-Adjusted RECs from Online Generation		1	5,148						
Rice Adjusted Efficience RIPS Carline in Development (%) Rice Adjusted Efficience RIPS Carline (%) Rice Adjusted RIPS Carline RIPS Carline (%) Rice Adjusted RIPS Carline (%)	Faa		Forecast Failure Rate for Online Generation (%)									
Processor Foultier Role for ROS Postulation to Development (P.) Pro-Approved Carbonic ROS Pro-Approved Carbonic ROS	139		Risk-Adjusted RECs from RPS Facilities in Development									
Pro-Aground Excessive RECA	Fbb		Forecast Failure Rate for RPS Facilities in Development (%)									
Fig. Fig Fi	Fc		Pre-Approved Generic RECs									
Fig. Fig. Fig. Total RISE Bigglite Procurement (CMO) Changary 1 RECs Changary 1 RECs Changary 1 RECs Changary 2 RECs Changar	Pi		Executed REC Sales									
Category of RECs Category of	Ŧ	Fa + Fb +Fc - Fd	Total RPS Eligible Procurement (GWh)			5,148						
Company 1 RECS Company 2 RECS Company 2 RECS Company 2 RECS Company 2 RECS Company 3 RECS Comp	FO		Category 0 RECs		ı	,						
Contegron 2 RECG. Contegron 2 RECG.	FI		Category 1 RECs			4,048						
Cause Ry's Position (Physical Net-Shurth)	53		Category 2 RECs		ı	1						
F.E. Annual Cross RPS Position (Physical Not Short)	13		Category 3 RECs			1,100						
F-E			Gross RPS Position (Physical Net Short)									
F/A Annual Cross RPS Position (%) Application of Bank H. He (from previous year) Establing Banked RECs above the PQR H. He (from previous year) Establing Banked RECs above the PQR Ha + He Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Ha + He He Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Ha + He He Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Ha + He He Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Ha + He He Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Ha + He He He Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Ha + He He Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Ha + He He He He He He He He	Ca	F-E	Annual Gross RPS Position (GWh)		3							
H - Hc (from previous year) Existing Banked RECs above the PQR	ŝ	F/A	Annual Gross RPS Position (%)		3							
H - Hc (from previous year) Existing Banked RECs above the PQR			Application of Bank									
RECs above the PQR Non-bankable RECs above the PQR Constrained Application of Application of RECs above the PQR Constrained Application of Application of Application (CVM) Constrained Application (Ha	H - Hc (from previous year)	Existing Banked RECs above the PQR									
Ha+Hb Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR Cross Balance Sales of RECs above the PQR	Hb		RECs above the PQR added to Bank									
Ha+Hb Cross Balance of RECs above the PQR towards RFS Compliance Planned Application of RECs above the PQR towards RFS Compliance Planned Application of RECs above the PQR towards RFS Compliance Planned Sales of RECs above the PQR towards RFS Compliance Planned Sales of RECs above the PQR Planned Sales of RECs above the	Hc		Non-bankable RECs above the PQR									
Planned Application of RECs above the PQR towards RPS Compliance Planned Sales of RECs above the PQR towards RPS Compliance Planned Sales of RECs above the PQR Planned Sales of	H	Ha+Hb	Gross Balance of RECs above the PQR									
Prigation Prigate of RECs above the PQR PRIGATE of RECs	la		POR towards RPS			-110)						
H-Jia-Jib Net Balance of RECs above the PQR Category of RECs	(16)		Planned Sales of RECs above the PQR									
Category 0 RECs Category 1 RECs Category 1 RECs Category 2 RECs		THATD	Net Balance of RECs above the PQR									
Category 1 RECs Category 2 RECs Papiring Contracts Paper 1 Recs from Expiring RPS Position Recs from Expiring RPS Position RPS Position Recs from Expiring RPS Position RPS Position Recs from Expiring RPS Position R	J0.		Category 0 RECs									
Category 2 RECs Expiring Contracts Experime RFS Contracts Expiring RFS Contracts Expir	31		Category 1 RECs									
Populing Contracts RECs from Expiring RPS Contracts Recs from Expiring RPS Contracts Recs from Expiring RPS Contracts Recs from Expirition (Optimized Net Short) Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (CWh) Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (Ps) An	12		Cahegoly 2 RECs									
RECs from Expiring RPS Contracts			Expiring Contracts									
Net RPS Position (Optimized Net Short) 5,158 5,158 Carter to the the transfer and Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (%) 15.8 15.8	Х		RECs from Expiring RPS Contracts									
Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (GWh)			Net RPS Position (Opfimized Net Short)									
Annual Net RDS Position after Bank Optimization (%)	La		Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (GWh)			5,158						
	1.6	W 128 - 45 - 47 - 6	Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (%)									

2033 Forecast		15,869		5,237	s	5,237									(5,237)	∀							(5,237)	
Forecast 2022 Forecast 2023 Forecast 2024 Forecast 2025 Forecast 2026 Forecast 2027 Forecast 2028 Forecast 2029 Forecast 2030 Forecast 2031 Forecast 2032 Forecast 2033 Forecast	2	15,869		5,237		5,237									(5,237)	<1							(5,237)	■
2031 Forecast		15,869		5,237	,	5,237									(5,237)	<1							(5,237)	
2030 Forecast		15,869	100	5,237	:	5,237									(5,237)	<1							(5,237)	₩
2029 Forecast		15,869		5,237	,	5,237									(5,237)	<1							(5,237)	
2028 Forecast		15,869		5,237	1	5,237									(5,237)	V							(5,237)	
2027 Forecast		15,869		5,237	s	5,237									(5,237)	<1							(5,237)	
2026 Forecast		15,869	10.00	5,237	1	5,237									(5,237)	V							(5,237)	
2025 Forecast		15,869		5,237		5,237									(5,237)	V							(5,237)	X 1
2024 Forecast		15,869		5,237	đ	5,237									(5,237)	∀							(5,237)	V
2023 Forecast	=	15,869		5,237	s	5,237									(5,237)	7							(5,237)	∇-
2022 Forecast		15,869		5,237	3	5,237	50			30	٠	ı	,	50	(5,187)	₽						50	(5,187)	∑
2021 Forecast		15,869		5,237		5,237	90			30	,	,	,	99	L	∀							(5,187)	∇
2017-2020	CP3	63,476		20,947	3	20,947	200			200	٠	1	٠	200	(20,747)	▽							(20,747)	\[\times\]
2020 Forecast		15,869		5,237	3	5,237	50			90	,	1	,	30	(5,187)	V							(5,187)	4.00
2017 Forecast 2018 Forecast 2019 Forecast 2020 Forecast		15,869		4,919		4,919	99			30		,		99	(4,689)	7							(4,689)	
2018 Forecast		15,869	0.00	4,602	,	4,602	58			20	,	ı	t	99	(4,552)	V							(4,552)	X
2017 Forecast		15,869	100	4,285		4,285	50			50	·	ı	t.	9.0	(4,255)	∀							(4,255)	4

uoi			
PCC Classification			
	Category 3		
Location			
	Unspecified		
Annual n (GWh)			
Expected Annual Generation (GWh)	SO MW h		
WW			
iration Date			
Contract Expiration Date			
Technology			
i Fi	Unspecified		
	S S		
Name			
Facility Name	ਹ		
	Uspecifie		

VERIFICATION

I, Gregory S. G. Klatt, attorney for Tiger Natural Gas, Inc., am authorized to make this Verification on its behalf. I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements in the foregoing 2014 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan filed in Rulemaking 11-05-005 are true of my own knowledge, except as to matters which are therein stated on information or belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

Executed on June 11, 2014, at Woodland Hills, California.

Gregory S.G. Klatt

Douglass & Liddell

21700 Oxnard Street, Suite 1030 Woodland Hills, California 91367

Telephone: (818) 961-3002 Facsimile: (818) 961-3004

Email: Klatt@energyattorney.com

Attorney for

Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.