

From: Doll, Laura
Sent: 6/19/2014 2:02:34 PM
To: Bruno, Kenneth (kenneth.bruno@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Not wanting to be burdensome

That sounds perfect.

Just let me know about timing. And of course we will happily come to you in SF if that makes it easier too.

From: Bruno, Kenneth [mailto:kenneth.bruno@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 1:59 PM
To: Doll, Laura
Subject: RE: Not wanting to be burdensome

I do think this is a good idea, however I think it's better suited for my GSRB management team (including myself) and of course Liza if she's interested.

From: Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 1:49 PM
To: Bruno, Kenneth
Subject: RE: Not wanting to be burdensome

Thanks for responding! I figured you are swamped.

The meeting is meant to be "standing" except we have never been able to lock down dates on a regular basis. So it's more like "rotating." That's no big deal, but the feedback I have gotten from Liza and Mike in the past is that the meetings are useful for SED, and I know they are useful for us.

So the last time the meeting included Mike, Liza, Dennis Lee, Sunil. We'd be happy to include Denise or anyone else you think is appropriate, but the general idea is that we put together an

agenda ahead of time with topics that could include “emerging issues” or updates to previous discussions about, e.g., ROW program status, corrosion program, gas ops reorganization etc. Last time we talked about one class out. Liza discussed the SED workplan. It’s informal, which is nice, and we try to follow up with any information that we commit to provide afterward. Right now, for example, we are compiling information that Sunil asked about relative to the pipeline centerline survey; I know that Franky is continuing to look at that as well.

The idea would be that 1.5 – 2 hours provides an opportunity for discussion of, say 3-5 agenda topics.

I think your presence is critical, as it was with Mike.

Thanks for considering this Ken.

Laura

From: Bruno, Kenneth [<mailto:kenneth.bruno@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 1:38 PM
To: Doll, Laura
Subject: RE: Not wanting to be burdensome

Hi Laura – Thanks for the friendly tug. Is this a standing type of meeting with the Gas Branch PM? Please let me know intended audience and basis and I’ll propose a few dates. You’re right...trying to get things in shape in my branch.

From: Doll, Laura [<mailto:LRDD@pge.com>]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 12:31 PM
To: Bruno, Kenneth
Subject: Not wanting to be burdensome

Ken

Hope things are ok with you – it’s really unusual for you not to respond, so I’m guessing you

are deep in the middle of several things.

At any rate I am resending this as a simple reminder, to keep it up higher in your inbox.

Let me know if I should work through someone else.

Thanks again

Laura

From: Doll, Laura
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2014 3:45 PM
To: kenneth.bruno@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: quarterly meeting in San Ramon

Ken

I'm checking in to see if we might schedule a date for a "quarterly meeting" in San Ramon at your convenience over the next few weeks. We last met in March.

Let me know if you can see a time that might work – perhaps a morning meeting at Bishop Ranch?

(I may previously have asked you about this, but if you responded I can't seem to find it.)

Thanks and have a good weekend!

Laura

Laura Doll

Director, Regulatory Relations

lrdd@pge.com

office: 415.973.8663

mobile: 415.828.3739

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>