BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 11-05-005 (Filed May 5, 2011)

2014 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROCUREMENT PLAN OF TIGER NATURAL GAS, INC. (PUBLIC)

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the March 26, 2014 Assigned Commissioner's Ruling Identifying Issues and Schedule of Review for 2014 Renewables Portfolio Standard Plans ("ACR") and the May 29, 2014 email ruling of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") DeAngelis extending the due date for the submission of such plans, Tiger Natural Gas, Inc. ("TNG") hereby submits this 2014 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan ("RPS Plan"). As required by the ACR, TNG's RPS Plan consists of the information described in sections 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.6, 6.12 and 6.14 of the ACR. TNG does not have any comments on the issues in section 7 of the ACR.

In accordance with the May 21, 2014 Administrative Law Judge's Ruling on Residual Net Short ("ALJ Ruling"), this RPS Plan also includes a Residual Net Short ("RNS") filing in the form of the template attached as Appendix C to Attachment A of the ALJ Ruling, as well as responses to the questions posed in Appendix D to Attachment A of said ruling.

II. RPS PROCUREMENT PLAN

6.1. Assessment of RPS Portfolio Supplies and Demand - § 399.13(a)(5)(A)

TNG currently serves a relatively small portion of the state's direct access ("DA") load under contracts with annual or month-to-month terms. Absent legislation further increasing the amount of load that is eligible for DA service, TNG does not expect that portion to change significantly during the ten-year planning horizon, much less the twenty-year time frame, covered by this RPS Plan. TNG expects to meet its RPS obligations for the 2014-2017 compliance period though a mix of bundled and REC-only transactions. Similarly, TNG plans to meet its RPS obligations for future compliance periods through a mix of bundled and REC-only transactions. The exact portfolio mix will depend the pricing that is available for various products, as well as the applicable portfolio category requirements; however, TNG anticipates that most if not all of the RPS-eligible energy procures will be from in-state resources and/or resources that have their first point of interconnection with a California balancing authority. TNG does not expect to make any capital investments in new renewable generation capacity during the planning period; however, TNG will plan to comply with the minimum long-term contracting quota, which will indirectly support the development of such capacity.

6.2. Project Development Status Update - § 399.13(a)(5)(D)

TNG has no information to report in this section, as TNG has not entered into any contracts with facilities that are not yet in commercial operation.

6.3. Potential Compliance Delays - § 399.13(a)(5)(B)

Given the straightforward nature of TNG's RPS procurement strategy (see Section 1 above), TNG does not anticipate any compliance delays for the 2014-2017 compliance period. If, however, fundamental barriers to the development of new renewable generation facilities that may be needed to meet the state's overall 33% RPS goal arise during the 2014-2033 period, any

corresponding deficiency in the overall amount of RPS products could possibly result in compliance delays for TNG. If and when any such potential compliance delays become evident, TNG will identify those barriers and address in future RPS Procurement Plans the steps it plans to take to account for and minimize their impact on the company's RPS compliance.¹

6.4. Risk Assessment - § 399.13(a)(5)(F)

TNG has no information to report in this section, as TNG has not entered into any contracts with facilities that are not yet in commercial operation.

6.6. "Minimum Margin of Procurement" - § 399.13(a)(4)(D)

TNG has no information to report in this section, as TNG has not entered into any contracts with facilities that are not yet in commercial operation.

6.12. Important Changes to Plans Noted

TNG has not made any important changes in this RPS plan as compared to the company's 2013 RPS Plan.

6.14. Safety Considerations

Given that TNG does not own, operate or control any RPS-eligible generation facilities, the company's RPS plan does not give rise to any safety considerations.

¹ TNG encountered unexpected difficulty at the end of 2013 in purchasing the additional bundled RPS products needed to meet its residual RPS compliance obligations for the 2011-2013 compliance period. At that time, TNG was informed by the company's procurement agents that Category 2 and Category 1 products had been largely if not completely bought up by the end of September and the end of November, respectively. In light of that experience, TNG will endeavor to purchase sufficient RPS products to meet all of its estimated residual RPS obligations for the 2014-2017 compliance period earlier in the last year of the compliance period.

III. RESPONSES TO ALJ QUESTIONS

RPS Compliance Risk

1. How do current and historical performance of online resources in your RPS portfolio impact future projections of RPS deliveries and your subsequent RNS?

There is no impact, as TNG currently does not have any RPS contracts with forward delivery obligations that are dependent on the performance of a specific RPS-eligible generator.

2. Do you anticipate any future changes to the current bundled retail sales forecast? If so, describe how the anticipated changes impact the RNS.

As a non-utility retail seller, TNG does not forecast "bundled retail sales."

3. Do you expect curtailment of RPS projects to impact your projected RPS deliveries and subsequent RNS?

No, unless the impact is so large as to impair the ability of non-utility retail sellers to meet their RPS obligations.

4. Are there any significant changes to the success rate of individual RPS projects that impact the RNS?

TNG has no information on this subject.

5. As projects in development move towards their COD, are there any changes to the expected RPS deliveries? If so, how do these changes impact the RNS?

TNG has no information on this subject.

RECs above the Procurement Quantity Requirement

6. What is the appropriate amount of RECs above the PQR to maintain? Please provide a quantitative justification and elaborate on the need for maintaining banked RECs above the PQR.

This topic is not applicable to TNG, as the company is not under any requirement to procure RECs in excess of the company's RPS obligations.

7. What are your strategies for short-term management (10 years forward) and long-term management (10-20 years forward) of RECs above the PQR? Please discuss any plans to use RECs above the PQR for future RPS compliance and/or to sell RECs above the PQR.

See response to Question 6.

Voluntary Margin of Over-Procurement

8. Provide VMOP on both a short-term (10 years forward) and long-term (10-20 years forward) basis. This should include a discussion of all risk factors and a quantitative justification for the amount of VMOP.

See response to Ouestion 6.

9. Please address the cost-effectiveness of different methods for meeting any projected VMOP procurement need, including application of forecast RECs above the PQR.

See response to Question 6.

Cost-effectiveness

10. Are there cost-effective opportunities to use banked RECs above the PQR for future RPS compliance in lieu of additional RPS procurement to meet the RNS?

TNG currently has no opinion on this topic.

11. How does your current RNS fit within the regulatory limitations for PCCs? Are there opportunities to optimize your portfolio by procuring RECs across different PCCs?

TNG currently has no opinion on this topic.

IV. CONFIDENTIALITY

Ordering Paragraph 9 of Decision ("D.") 08-04-023 provides that "An ESP or IOU need not seek confidentiality of regular compliance filings every time it files, but only the first time. The ESP or IOU may simply cite a prior ruling or motion when making subsequent compliance filings." On June 27, 2013, TNG filed a motion to file the company's 2013 RPS Plan under seal, wherein TNG requested, pursuant to D.06-06-006 the ESP Matrix (as modified by D.08-04-023),

that the Commission maintain the confidentiality of certain information set forth in the 2013 RPS Plan. TNG's 2013 RPS Plan was approved in D.13-11-024. TNG cites the aforesaid motion in requesting confidential treatment for such information as has been redacted in the Public version of this RPS Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

R. F. "Bob" Smith, P.E.

Executive Vice President

Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

1422 E. 71st St., Suite J

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74136

(918) 491-6998

bsmith@tigernaturalgas.com

June 11, 2014

						-					
Variable	Calculation	llem	Deficit from RPS prior to Reporting Year	2011 Actuals	2012 Actuals	2013 Actuals	2011-2013	2014 Forecast	2015 Forecast	2016 Forecast	2014-2016
		Forecast Year		1			GEI				CP2
		Annual RPS Requirement									
А		Bundled Retail Sales Forecast (LTPP)		24,734	_						
8		RPS Procurement Quantity Requirement (%)				34 5	20.07				
Ü	A*B	Gross RPS Procurement Quantity Requirement (GWh)									
Ω		Voluntary Margin of Over-procurement				,	ι	,		5	ı
n.i	C+D	Net RPS Procurement Need (GWh)									
		RPS-Eligible Procurement									
Fa		Risk-Adjusted RECs from Online Generation		-	5,148						
Faa		Forecast Failure Rate for Online Generation (%)									
8		Risk-Adjusted RECs from RPS Facilities in Development									
Fbb		Forecast Failure Rate for RPS Facilities in Development (%)									
Fc		Pre-Approved Generic RECs									
Fd		Executed REC Sales									
بدا	Fa + Fb +Fc - Fd	Total RPS Eligible Procurement (GWh)		,	5,148						
F0		Category 0 RECs			ŧ						
FI		Category 1 RECs		,	4,048						
F2		Category 2 RECs		,	į						
22		Category 3 RECs		,	1,100						
		Gross RPS Position (Physical Net Short)									
Ca	F-E	Annual Gross RPS Position (GWh)		0	5,148						
ਰੰ	F/A	Annual Gross RPS Position (%)		0	15.8						
		Application of Bank									
H3	H - Hc (from previous year)	Existing Banked RECs above the PQR									
H		RECs above the PQR added to Bank									
Нç		Non-bankable RECs above the PQR									
x	Ha+Hb	Gross Balance of RECs above the PQR									
la		Planned Application of RECs above the PQR towards RIS Compliance									
40		Manned Sales of RECs above the PQR									
	Tr. Ja III	Net Balance of RECs above the PQR									
10%		Category 9 RECs									
01.77		Category 3 RECs									
12		Category 2 RECS									
		Expiring Contracts									
×		RECs from Expiring RPS Contracts									
		Net RPS Position (Optimized Net Short)									
18/	and the state of	Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (GWh)									
1.15	F - 12 - 41 - 12 - 13	Annual Net RPS Position after Bank Optimization (%)			15.8						
Note: Fields in	ı grey are potected as Confidentia	Note: Fields in grey are potected as Confidential under CPUC Confidentiality Roles									
Note: Values a	Note: Values are shown in GWhs										
Note: Colored	are substantial contras										

333 Forecast	25	15,869		5,237	3	5,237									(5,237)									(5,237)	
Forecast 2022 Forecast 2023 Forecast 2025 Forecast 2025 Forecast 2027 Forecast 2028 Forecast 2029 Forecast 2030 Forecast 2031 Forecast 2032 Forecast 2033 Forecast		15,869		5,237	:	5,237									(5,237)	4								(5,237)	40//////
2031 Forecast 2	1	15,869	8	5,237		5,237									(5,237)	7								(5,237)	2
2030 Forecast		15,869		5,237	z	5,237									(5,237)	<1								(5,237)	. □
2029 Forecast		15,869		5,237		5,237									(5,237)	. √1								(5,237)	************************************
2028 Forecast		15,869		5,237	ź	5,237									(5,237)	⊽								(5,237)	4
2027 Forecast		15,869		5,237	ŝ	5,237									(5,237)	√]								(5,237)	<1
2026 Forecast		15,869	1 mg	5,237	d	5,237									(5,237)	7								(5,237)	
2025 Forecast		15,869		5,237	s	5,237									(5,237)	₩.								(5,237)	<1) / i
2024 Forecast	7	15,869		5,237	đ	5,237									(5,237)	₹								(5,237)	<1.00
2023 Forecast	#	15,869	70	5,237	s	5,237									(5,237)	Ŭ.								(5,237)	V
2022 Forecast	2	15,869		5,237	ŝ	5,237	50			30	t	ı	i.	90	(5,187)	7							50	(5,187)	**
2021 Forecast		15,869	T.	5,237	ş	5,237	50			20	ı		t	25	(5,187)	∀								(5,187)	¥
2017-2020	CES	63,476		20,947	ŝ	20,947	200			200	ı	1	i.	200	(20,747)	⊽								(20,747)	Δ.
2020 Forecast		15,869		5,237	ŝ	5,237	50			90	i.	1		96	(5,187)	<1								(5,187)	
2017 Forecast 2018 Forecast 2019 Forecast 2020 Forecast		15,869	12	4,919	r	4,919	50			20	t	٠	t	96	(4,689)	7								(4,689)	
2018 Forecast		15,8	9	4,602	3	4,602	58			20	t	ı	t	96	(4,552)	V								(4,552)	\$1,000 (20,000)
2017 Forecast		15,869		4,285	ī	4,285	50			20	t	ı	t	30	(4,255)	∀								(4,255)	4

ç	
Ę.	
fice fine	
PCC Classification	
ວິ	
8	
Α.	
	3
	6
	9
	೦
Ö	
cat	
Location PCC Classification	
Expected Annual Generation (GWh) Location County Co	
	led
	, G
	ds
	5
# \	
2 6	
ected Ann reration (C	
# E	S
je je	2
Expected Annual Generation (GWh)	20
- **	
2	
MW	
MW	
MW	4/A
ΜW	2 N/A
» MW	2022 N/A
ate MW	2022 N/A
n Date MW	2022 N/A
tion Date MW	2022 N/A
niration Date MW	2022 N/A
expiration Date MW	2022 N/A
ш	fied 2022 N/A
ш	ccified 2022 N/A
ш	2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
ш	Unspecified 2022 N/A
Technology Contract E	fied Unspecified 2022 N/A

VERIFICATION

I, Gregory S. G. Klatt, attorney for Tiger Natural Gas, Inc., am authorized to make this Verification on its behalf. I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements in the foregoing 2014 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan filed in Rulemaking 11-05-005 are true of my own knowledge, except as to matters which are therein stated on information or belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

Executed on June 11, 2014, at Woodland Hills, California.

Gregory S.G. Klatt

Douglass & Liddell

21700 Oxnard Street, Suite 1030 Woodland Hills, California 91367

Telephone: (818) 961-3002 Facsimile: (818) 961-3004

Email: Klatt@energyattorney.com

Attorney for

Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.