

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 6/30/2014 4:36:41 PM
To: Peck, David B. (david.peck@cpuc.ca.gov); Li, Xian M. (Xian.Li@cpuc.ca.gov);
'Salvacion, Lisa-Marie' (lisa-marie.salvacion@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted] (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[Redact]
Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redact]
[Redacted] (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=[Redac]); Gokhale,
Sudheer K. (sudheer.gokhale@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Lunches

Hi Lisa ,

Just a couple of clarifications to make sure we have everything correct.

First, the 15-dollar lunch was not at our facility. While the sub-\$15 lunches meet CPUC rules for gifts, they will still get reported by us unless we are paid back.

Hope this helps,

[Redacted]

Principal Regulatory Analyst
Demand Response
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Redacted]

From: Salvacion, Lisa-Marie [mailto:lisa-marie.salvacion@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2014 12:54 PM

To: [Redacted] Li, Xian M.; Peck, David B.

Cc: [Redacted]; Dietz, Sidney; Gokhale, Sudheer K.; [Redacted]

Subject: RE: Lunches

Hi Redacted

See the note below I got from Laura Gasser, from CPUC Legal Division. Cindy and I can provide payment for the \$38 lunch we accepted at the first meeting. As for the second meal, since it was \$15, it falls under the exception of the general rule which requires the IOU/CPUC employee to report (unless we go above the \$30 per month). I think SCE's general rule of thumb at PRG meetings is to keep the lunches at \$15.

Since I will not be attending tomorrow's meeting, I can provide Cindy with payment on my behalf. Thanks again!

Lisa

From: Gasser, Laura E.
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 2:13 PM
To: Salvacion, Lisa-Marie
Cc: Reiger, J. Jason; Allen, Peter
Subject: RE: Lunch/coffee service provided

Hi Lisa-Marie –

Assuming the settlement conference is going to be held at the utility's premises, you may accept the lunch meal up to a \$15 value, as long as the total value of food/beverage you receive does not exceed \$30 in any given month or \$360 in a calendar year. See our Proposed Statement of Incompatible Activities (June 2005), section 9(b)(2). This \$15 on-premises light meal is an exception to the general rule prohibiting CPUC staff from accepting any gifts (regardless of value) from any entity subject to the CPUC's jurisdiction. See Proposed Statement, section 9(a).

With regard to reporting the gift/s under the Political Reform Act, you must report all gifts from the utility totaling \$50 or more on your Form 700 for 2014. To the extent you have already accepted \$50 in meals/gifts from the utility so far in 2014, be aware that, if this meal is accepted, you will have to report ALL gifts from the utility received in 2014. To avoid this reporting requirement, you should consider not accepting the meal or reimbursing the utility for

the value of the meal. Under the PRA, you have 30 days from the receipt of the gift to reimburse the donor.

In terms of valuation, pls ask the utility to provide you with a statement showing the value of the meal accepted. And if you reimburse the utility, pls ask for a receipt.

Thanks for checking in,

Laura

From: [Redacted] [mailto:Reda@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, June 30, 2014 11:20 AM
To: Salvacion, Lisa-Marie; Li, Xian M.; Peck, David B. [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted] Dietz, Sidney; Gokhale, Sudheer K.; [Redacted]
Subject: Lunches

Hi Lisa, Cindy and David,

This is just a reminder that we need to get payment for the working lunches you had on June 19 and June 26 as part of the DR OIR Settlement meetings.

I believe that [Redacted] let you know the amount for the meetings. It was \$38 for June 19 and \$15 for June 26. I believe David was only June 26.

Also , for tomorrow's meeting we will be having a "working lunch", so it will be good to pay cash for that tomorrow as well. We will let you know the amount tomorrow.

If you can bring the cash or check for the June 19 and June 26 meetings tomorrow that would be best. It would be best for all of us to not have to report these as gifts, which we will have to

do if we are not paid by July 19th.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Analyst
Demand Response
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted
(cell)

Redacted.com

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>