

Brian K. Cherry Vice President Regulatory Relations Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale St., Mail Code B10C P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

415.973.4977 Fax: 415.973.7226

June 20, 2014

Mr. Edward Randolph Director - Energy Division California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

# CONFIDENTIAL – SUBMITTED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF GENERAL ORDER 66-C AND SECTION 583 OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE

Re: Request for Pre-Approval of Interstate Pipeline Capacity Contract

Dear Mr. Randolph:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) requests your approval to enter into a fourmonth firm interstate pipeline capacity contract, from July 1, 2014 through October 31, 2014, to comply with the Commission's pipeline capacity planning range for PG&E, as established in Decision (D.) 04-09-022. PG&E requests that the Energy Division approve this expedited pipeline capacity approval request by June 26, 2014, in accordance with the Commission's expedited pipeline pre-approval process, described on page 25 of D. 04-09-022. For those contracts that cannot be accommodated under the time limits of the expedited advice letter process, the Commission delegated approval authority in this expedited process to the Director of the Commission's Energy Division (ED), consistent with ED's role in approving advice letters.

Acquisition of the proposed four-month interstate pipeline capacity contract meets all preapproval criteria specified in D.04-09-022:

- 1. The contract length is less than 3 years (Finding of Fact (FOF) 9);
- 2. The contract quantity is less than the capacity limit of 100 MMcf/d (102,000 Dth/d) (FOF 9);
- 3. PG&E's pre-approved capacity commitment is less than 50% of its core interstate pipeline capacity portfolio (FOF 10);
- 4. The proposed contract cannot be accommodated under the timing of the expedited capacity advice letter procedures (FOF 13);
- 5. ORA and TURN were included in the agreement pre-approval process (FOF 15); and

6. PG&E's total core capacity quantity, with the inclusion of the proposed contract, remains within the Commission designated summer capacity planning range of between 90 percent of forecasted annual average core demand, up to 1058 MMcf/d (FOF 23 and 24)<sup>1</sup>.

#### **Background**

Decision (D.) 04-09-022 established interstate pipeline capacity minimum and maximum quantities for the core gas portfolios of California utilities. However, on December 27, 2012, the Commission issued D. 12-12-006, directing PG&E to file an application to "propose a new core interstate pipeline capacity planning range or formula." In addition, the Commission established a new interim capacity planning range for the winter months for PG&E of 900 MMcfd (918 MDth/day) to 1,000 MMcfd (1,020 MDth/day), effective as of January 1, 2013, until a new capacity range was proposed by PG&E and approved by the Commission. The Commission left in place PG&E's then-existing summer capacity planning range. <sup>3</sup>

As required by D.12-12-006, PG&E filed its Application (A.)13-06-011, on June 13, 2013. PG&E's application proposed lower contract ranges than those established by the Commission's interim decision. PG&E proposed:

- April October: 85%-120% of forecast average annual daily core demand (or a forecast range of 688 MDth/day - 971 MDth/day at the California border for 2013-2023).
- November March: 105%-120% of forecast average annual daily core demand (or a forecast range of 850 MDth/day 971 MDth/day at the California border for 2013-2023).

Until the Commission issues its decision in A.13-06-011, PG&E is required to contract within the interim pipeline range. Table I, below, shows that upon expiration of PG&E's El Paso Natural Gas contract 9RJE, and the Kern River Gas Transmission contract 1520, PG&E's total contract quantity will fall below the interim range minimum by 34,013

D.12-12-006, Ordering Paragraph 2, reduced PG&E's capacity planning range for winter months on an interim basis and ordered PG&E to file an application proposing a new range. The summer range was not changed. PG&E's application for a new capacity range in A.13-06-011 was filed June 13, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> D.12-12-006, at 18 (Ordering Paragraph 2 & 3).

For the summer months, the lower end of the capacity planning range was set at 90% of the forecasted average demand. D. 04-09-022 at 34, 85, Findings of Fact 23.

Dth/day. PG&E proposes to replace its expiring El Paso Natural Gas contract 9RJE (and increase its maximum daily quantity to 35,000 Dth/day), in order to comply with the interim requirement. PG&E does not propose to enter into contracts to satisfy the Commission's winter interim requirement at this time. PG&E may seek approval for additional contracts in order to satisfy the winter interim requirement, if a Commission decision in A.13-06-011 is not issued by September 30, 2014.

Table I

PG&E Core Interstate Pipeline Contracts							
Pipeline	Contract #	MDQ (Dth/d)	Start	End			
Gas Transmission NW (GTN)	10524	279,968	11/1/2009	10/31/2016			
	10525	80,000	11/1/2009	10/31/2020			
Total GTN		359,968					
Ruby	6101400	250,000	11/1/2011	10/31/2026			
El Paso Natural Gas	9RJE	30,000	7/1/2013	6/30/2014			
Kern River Gas Transmission							
Annual	1520	10,000	7/1/2013	6/30/2014			
Dec - Mar	1846	55,000	12/1/2013	3/31/2014			
Total Kern River (Dec-March)		65,000					
Transwestern Avg. (MDQ Varies Monthly)	103615	145,000	4/1/2013	3/31/2015			
April May - Oct		145,000 83,000					
Nov		190,000					
Dec - Mar		222,000					
Annual Ava Transwestern		143,000					
Total Interstate Quantities at CA	Border	Jan - June	After Ju	ly 1st:			
	May - Oct	732,968	692,968 809,968				
	Nov	839,968					
	Dec-Mar	926,968	831,9	068			
CDLIC Interim Canacity Pange		Interim Range	Shortfall (from Minimum)				
CPUC Interim Capacity Range	May - Oct*	726,981	(34,013)				
per D.12-06-006	Nov	726,981	None				
	Dec - Mar	918,000 to 1,020,000	(86,032)				

### **Discussion of Recommended Contract**

Redacted	
T.H. H	
Table II	<u></u>
edacted	

## **Justification for Request Under the Pre-Approval Process**

Unless the proposed contract is approved and executed prior to July 1, 2014, PG&E will be out of compliance with PG&E's summer pipeline capacity planning range, as established by the Commission.

Consequently, pre-approval status for this contract is appropriate and necessary, as provided by D.04-09-022 (Conclusion of Law 4). Conclusion of Law 5 delegates authority to approve contracts that fall under the pre-approval criteria to the Director of Energy Division. The terms of the recommended contract meet the requirements necessary to utilize the pre-approval process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The pipeline's Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) gas tariff usage and fuel rates will apply.

#### Recommendation

PG&E requests Energy Division approval of the recommended contract under the preapproval process. ORA and TURN concur that PG&E should proceed with acquiring the proposed El Paso contract with the terms shown in Table II.

Expeditious approval is necessary and justified, as envisioned by D.04-09-022. The urgency to approve this contract is predicated on the existing contracts which expire June 30, 2014, and PG&E's most recent core gas demand forecast<sup>5</sup>, indicating that acquisition of at least 34,000 Dth per day is necessary for PG&E to remain in compliance with the Commission's established interstate pipeline capacity summer range.

If a Commission decision in PG&E's application is not issued by September 1, 2014, PG&E recommends that Energy Division, ORA, TURN, and PG&E confer to consider the potential winter 2014-2015 capacity shortfall.

If you or your staff should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Erik Jacobson at (415) 973-4464, or John Armato at (415) 973-7022.

Sincerely,

Brian K. Cherry

Vice President, Regulatory Relations

cc: Richard Myers, Energy Division

Franz Cheng, Energy Division

Kristofer Holz, Energy Division

Jonathan Bromson, CPUC Legal

Marcel Hawiger, The Utility Reform Network

Mark Pocta, Office of Ratepayer Advocates

Nathaniel Skinner, Office of Ratepayer Advocates

Pearlie Sabino, Office of Ratepayer Advocates

David Clare, PG&E

John Armato, PG&E

Keith Sampson, PG&E

Erik Jacobson, PG&E

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Average temperature year, base case forecast, PG&E Gas Transmission and Storage forecast run, November 7, 2013.

Attachments – Declaration of John Armato in Support of the Confidential Treatment and

Matrix