
Gas Operations Distribution Records Update


July 11, 2014



Steps in Enhancing Distribution Records Quality



Legend: T: Transmission D: Distribution



	Initiative	Status	Progress
Baseline Validation	Pathfinder (D)		 Data representing 17,000 of 42,000 miles of mains and 1.5 million of 3.3 million services has been converted into GIS, using a risk-based schedule As a result of the conversion, data quality enhancements are being performed including resolving discrepancies between job orders, installation year, coating type, size, material and other relevant attributes On-track to complete system-wide data conversion by end of 2015
	CC&B Verification (D)		 Compared distribution asset maps with customer billing data that includes meter locations Identified 2,883 distribution services and associated mains not included on the distribution asset maps, which were subsequently leak surveyed and mapped
	As-Built Aging Workload (T&D)		 Searched all open work orders associated with asset installation or modification in the work management systems (SAP and PSRS) and identified 61,947 open work orders for both transmission and distribution Researched each work order to identify where construction was completed but not yet mapped resulting in 18,113 distribution work orders; some were pending updates in the mapping system by more than 5 years 12,929 open distribution work orders have been completed; On-track to update mapping system for all remaining distribution outstanding work orders by Oct, 2014

Completed In Progress On-Going Early Stage Initiative




	Initiative	Status	Progress
Field /erification Process	A Forms (T&D)		 Use of field inspection data for transmission and distribution assets captured during leak repair to update asset specifications in GIS
F Veri Pr	Corrective Action Program (T&D)		 Discrepancies between records and actual asset informationinput into CAP for tracking and resolution
Preventative Controls	As-Built Process Enhancements (T&D)		 Track and measure cycle time of every transmission and distribution work order from construction complete to update of mapping system Reduced average duration from 75 days in 2011 (3rd quartile) to current performance of 29 days (1st quartile)
	Employee and Contractor Awareness (T&D)		 Reinforce importance of having accurate and updated information prior to starting any job and the ability to stop a job in case of uncertainty or identified discrepancy in records Emphasize use of CAP to submit all discrepancies between records and actual asset information
	Process Safety (T&D)		 Developed and implemented a gas carrier pipe field verification checklist for distribution mains and services Initiated an effort, Records Validation Project, to enhance process controls for validating asset information during design and prior to construction analogous to a "pre-flight" safety checklist

Completed In Progress On-Going Early Stage Initiative



Pathfinder (D) (Baseline Validation)

Gas Distribution GIS



Establishing a single database for gas distribution asset data and substantially improving the accessibility of information resulting in improved:

- Safety,
- Compliance, and
- Work Management Processes

PF&E Pathfinder Scope and Schedule (risk-based)

Production Pilot System-wide Proof of Concept (Peninsula Division) **Production Deployment** 2012 Phase 1 – GEMS **Data Expansion** Phase 2 - GSR Phase 1 - GEMS Data Expansion Peninsula Phase 3 – Gas Map, TBA, MAOP Phase 2 - GSR Data Expansion East Bay 2013 Phase 3 – Gas Map, TBA, MAOP Phase 4 – Emergency Zone, AC Sacramento Data Expansion 1 Phase 5 – SAP Equipment Phase 4 – Emergency Zone, AC San Francisco Data Expansion 4 Phase 6 – Work Order (DIMP) Phase 5 – SAP Equipment Production San Jose Deployment Phase 7 – CPA Maps Data Expansion Phase 6 – Work Order DIMP) Diablo **Data Expansion** Mission Phase 8 – Leak Survey, Leaks Phase 7 – CPA Maps 2014 North Bay Data Expansion Phase 8 - Leak Survey, Leaks **Central Coast** Phase 9 – SynerGEE DeAnza **Data Expansion** Phase 9 – SynerGl North Valley Phase 10 – Mobile Map & Asset Correction Data Expansion Phase 10 – Mobile Map & Asset Correction Sierra North Coast Fresno 2015 Kern Stockton Yosemite



Gas Distribution GIS Demonstration


8




CC&B Verification (D) (Baseline Validation)

PG&E CC&B Verification Process

- Verified meter locations in billing database with distribution asset maps and Google Earth
- Identified and analyzed exceptions to validate and determine assets not included on the distribution maps
- Ensured traceable, verifiable, and complete records for the remediation of each exception





PG& CC&B Verification Results



Prepared at the Request of Pacific Gas and Electric Company


PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CC&B/GEMS VALIDATION PROJECT PROGRESS AND RESULTS REPORT BY PG&E MAPPING OFFICE AS OF JANUARY 14, 2013

		FINAL DETERMINATION						
	Facetion	Total Plats	Number of Meters	Percentage of Review Complete	Number of Meter Exceptions	Percentage of Meter Exceptions	Number of Plats with Exceptions	Percentage of Plats with Exceptions
1.	Redacted	1,347	193,364	100.0%	22	0.01%	12	0.89%
2.		1,053	146,124	100.0%	34	0.02%	23	2.38%
3.		822	80,442	100.0%	23	0.03%	17	2.07%
4.		1,160	261,709	100.0%	40	0.02%	12	1.03%
		1,499	514,550	100.0%	417	> à naith	27	1.80%
6 .		1,085	101,714	100.0%	117	0.12%	50	4.61%
		1,430	260,754	100.0%	407	>> 0.16%	15	1.05%
邀.		1,023	317,489	100.0%	27	aon.	13	1.27%
Ŷ.		1,997	271,454	100.0%	86	0.03%	37	1.85%
14.		613	327,798	100.0%	69	0.02%	9	1.47%
11.		2,790	635,781	100.0%	151	0.02%	30	1.08%
		1,654	212,672	100.0%	3.29	> 0.15%	48	2.90%
13.		709	242,187	100.0%	%	0.04%	7	0.99%
14.		568	289,063	100.0%	219	0.08%	42	7.39%
		975	197,043	100.0%	648		44	4,51%
16.		1,006	163,236	100.0%	93	0.06%	8	0.80%
17.		1,778	226,713	100.0%	96 ;	0.04%	41	2.31%
18.		221	14,452	100.0%	9	0.06%	6	271%
	TOTALS / AVERAGES:	21,730	4,456,615	100.007%	2,883	0.06%	441	2.03%



As-Built Aging Workload (T&D) (Baseline Validation)

PFGE Distribution Work Orders Aging Workload



Distribution Orders requiring additional assessment after first review

Distribution Asset Family	Total
Distribution Services	6,385
Distribution Copper Services - no order	3,607
Distribution Mains	3,464
Measurement & Control - no order	2,089
Distribution Services - no order	2,072
Measurement & Control	467
CNG/LNG	29
Total	18,113



A Forms (T&D) (Field Verification Process)

Leveraging Mobile A-Form Data

Display Notification :	Repair Data			L,	• Anna ann an Anna •
•				Shape	<shape></shape>
				Source Accuracy	GSR
Notification Checklist	Repar Data CP Condition	1		Construction Status	Complete
🔹 🛢 Leak Information 🛛 🎽				Installed Job Order	PM42117810
 Pipe Data Repar Data 				Installed Completion Date	5/2/2014
B Inspect/Pipe Cond:Metal	USA notfied?	Yes Villes If No, Why?		Service Type	Service
• 🛙 Installation/Pressure Test	USA Ticket #	138309 Valid Date 05/02/2014		Locating Wire Indicator	Yes
• 🛢 Incident	Response Date	05/02/2014 Response Time 13:35:00 24	br format	Joint Trench Indicator	No
	Gas Loss Calculation Required			Physical Wall Map	3278
• @ Mismark	Repair Completed by:(LAN ID)	ccb9 Ext Contractor		Recorded Wall Map	3278
• 🗰 Mapping Review	Repair Completed in Field on	05/02/2014 Repar Completed in Field at:	18:00:00 24 hr	Physical Plat	G
 Leak History M Status 	Repair Location	on service 6 feeet from meter		Recorded Plat	Ğ
Gatus Gatus Gatus	Repair Remarks	replaced 10 feet of service		Physical Block	14
• Ø Document Management	Paving Required	by tightening, lubricating, and/or adjusting	No +	Recorded Block	14
• @ Comments *	Was facility deactivated and retu			Material	Plastic
Notification Summary	Repair Activity	Repare Partal Service		Nominal Diameter	1
	If other, explain	Internet and the second s		Plastic Type	PE2406/2708 (Yellow)
Number 107994253		10 C	0	Non Locatable Stub	No
Notif Type GC	Size Installed	1.00		Pressure Classification	High Pressure
Problem Cat GRDLK	Replaced With	PE2406/2708 (Yextex)		Owner	PG&E
Order No. 42117810	Copper Entirely Replaced	Aldyl A Removed	•	Installation Method	Unknown
Ref. Notif.	Post Recheck Required	💷 👻 Post Recheck By date		Insert Indicator	No
	Pipeline Engineer Consulted?	Engineer Consulted (LAN ID)		Length Source	Field Measurement
	Date Consulted	- Andrewski (1997)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Measured Length	R
Created By GCMFASCPIC				MAOP	<pre></pre>
Create Date 05/06/2014				MAOP MAOP Unit of Measure	Pressure Per Square Inch
Changed By BCH_WM_UCS				Applicant Install Exp Date	<pre> ressure rer square incn </pre>
Change Date 06/11/2014					<nui></nui>
				Applicant Trench Exp Date MLX Agreement	< <u><nub></nub></u> <nub></nub>



Corrective Action Program (T&D) (Field Verification Process)

PRGE CAP Items – Records Discrepancies

Miss-mapped (or mapping discrepancy) related items

SAP No.	Initiation Date	Title	Risk
7000373	10/29/2013	SR-047 Mapping-Svc not posted/Citrus Hts	Medium 3-B
7001198	1/8/2014	Gas Plat Sheet 3414-C2 Error	Low 4-C
7001351	1/16/2014	Inaccurate gas plat map info Orinda	Low 4-D
7001876	2/18/2014	DCUST1530 Not Drawn in GIS - 3" TP Serv	Medium 3-B
7001940	2/19/2014	School Srvc not on Gas Plat - Danville	Medium 3-B
7001929	2/21/2014	unmapped transmission mains in ST & YO	Medium 3-B
7001937	2/21/2014	Trans assets not mapped by both T & D	Medium 4-A

Miss-mapped (or mapping discrepancy) related items specific to inserted mains or services

SAP Initiation No. Date	Title	Description		Risk
7000 10/28/201 189 3	Gas Leak Repair and a CPUC Site Visit	On July 30, 2013, a General Construction (GC Redacted welded a Red Redacted preparing to transfer the Redacted the following day. The Redacted an Redacted heat of the weld. The GC crew did not notice the before ending the day. On July 30, 2013 at 17 notified of a gas odor at Redacted Mountain View Fire Department. A Gas Crew was shut in at 2100 hours, repairs were made to 7 customers on July 31, 2013 at 0030 hours	d fitting onto the Redact a gas service to the new d had that melted due to the he service was leaking 17 hours, PG&E was by the was dispatched, the gas and service was restored	Medium 3-B




As-Built Process Enhancements (T&D) (Preventative Controls)



- In 2013, every transmission and distribution job was tracked and monitored to update the mapping system after construction complete within 90-days, identified as the as-built duration metric
- In 2014, the as-built duration metric will continue to improve our processes by monitoring the <u>average</u> number of days it takes to update the mapping system after construction complete
 - Goal: Average duration of 32 days to map a job after construction complete
 - At the end of 2013, the average duration to map a job was 34 days¹
 - The average duration to map a job is 29 days as of 6/17/14

¹Average duration to map a job in 2011 was 75 days





Employee and Contractor Awareness (Preventative Controls)

Stop the work if records don't match; Report any records issues to CAP



A Message from Jesus Soto, Jr. Senior Vice President, Gas Engineering, Construction and Opera



Team:

Accurate and reliable records are the foundation of the everyday work we do at PG&E and are essential to making informed decisions that keep the public and employees safe. We all play a role in keeping our records current and ensuring that we use accurate records for every job that we perform.

Thank you for the great progress you've made on records

You've made great strides in updating, verifying and centralizing our records. In fact, more than four million of our records for all 6,750 miles of transmission pipeline system have been collected, verified and entered into a centralized repository—making them easier for our employees to access. Together, we are continuing to make similar progress for our distribution system. The work you have completed provides a strong foundation but given that our infrastructure is many decades old, we have continued opportunities to use our "find it and fix it" approach to improve the accuracy of our records.

Take the time to execute the work in the right way

In addition to validating procedures and standards for the job, it is just as important to confirm that you are using accurate records. The gas explosion in a vacant Carmel home on March 3 serves as a very real reminder of how critical it is to have accurate records in our hands when we're performing work on our gas system. Since this incident, we have implemented the new Gas Carrier Pipe Checklist to help remind us of the steps involved in verifying our distribution assets before we start work. But the checklist is only a starting point. Each and every one of us has a responsibility to make sure that we have the most accurate records in our hands whenever we are performing work on our gas facilities.

Everyone has the authority to stop a job if records don't match

Before starting a job that involves our gas facilities, whether it's in the field or at your desk, ask yourself these questions:

- 1. Do I know everything I need to know about the facilities I'm about to work with?
- 2. Do I feel confident that I have the information I need to perform the job safely?

If your answer is "No" or "I don't know" then the work should not be started until you can answer "Yes" to those questions. If you feel any uncertainty about the records you are working from, <u>stop the job and seek out the most up-to-date version</u>, <u>or ask your co-worker</u> <u>or supervisor for assistance</u>.

Remember that a two month-old copy of a map in your truck or on your desk might not be the most accurate because we're updating our maps at a much faster rate. In fact, the recent assessment performed by Lloyd's Register, which supported our PAS 55-1 and ISO 55001 certifications, noted that "records is a challenging area for any utility company and that PG&E has made good progress, however inconsistencies continue to be identified between the master copies of drawings and those retained by individuals on the site."

Continuing to improve the accuracy and reliability of our records is at the core of becoming the safest, most reliable gas company in the nation. As you perform your work, if you come across situations where you believe there may be differences between our records and field verified information, it's critical that you submit these items through the Corrective Action Program (CAP), either online by <u>clicking here</u> or by calling the helpline (855) 85-GO-CAP (855-854-6227).



21

Our vision is to become the safest, most reliable gas company in the United States. Every day our actions must be guided by putting safety and people at the heart of everything that we do.

8e safe,

Jesus



Process Safety (T&D) (Preventative Controls)



Gas Carrier Pipe Verification Checklist



5 Min Meeting

March 24, 2014

Gas Carrier Pipe Verification

AUDIENCE:

 All personnel performing welding and/or tapping on distribution facilities

Safety:

Why It Matters To You

As a result of a recent incident, the following measures must be taken to verify that steel pipe has not been inserted prior to welding and tapping. These measures are effective immediately.

Key Discussion Points

This 5MM outlines measures which must be taken to identify whether or not a steel pipe has been inserted with a plastic pipe.

Complete the attached "Gas Carrier Pipe Checklist" to document these steps.

Perform both record review and jobsite review prior to any physical work.

Record Review (Plat Sheets, Gas	Perform the following:		
Service Records, As-builts)	Review most current records to ensure that they match each other and jobsite conditions.		
	 a. Is the job package current and complete? b. Do the facility construction details on the documents match each other? 		
	If any of the answers to the above are NO, then STOP and contact your supervisor for guidance.		
Jobsite Review	"Read the Street." Check for signs of recent construction activity on gas facilities.		
	 a. Do the existing adjacent risers match the documentation? b. Does the facility construction type seen in the field match what is shown on the records? 		
	If any of the answers to the above are NO, then STOP and contact your supervisor for guidance.		
Physical Verification	 If plastic is present, then STOP and contact supervisor. If fittings are in the excavation, then utilize them for pressure check. If you detect no pressure or if there are no visible fittings, then STOP and contact your supervisor. 		

Page 1 of 2

		•
Pacific Gas and PFSF Electric Company*	Gas Carrier Pipe Checklist	Page 1 of 1

This is an interim form to ensure the necessary steps are taken to help identify when a steel line has been inserted. It will be incorporated into the JSSA.


NOTES:

- · Use non-erasable blue or black ink.
- Complete this form before welding or tapping on steel distribution lines.
- Attach this checklist to the supervisor's JSSA that is submitted for each job.
 Perform both the Record/Jobsite Review and the Physical Verification to determine if the steel pipe is
- If the answer to ANY of the below questions is "NO", <u>stop work</u> and contact your supervisor.

GENERAL INFO	RMATION		
Division/Area:		Date:	
Physical Work Location:		Person in Charge:	

RECORD/JOBSITE REVIEW				
Task	Question	Outcome		
Review the job package	Is the job package current and complete?	YES or NO		
Compare the documents in the package to each other	Do the facility construction details on the documents match each other?	YES or NO		
Look for signs of insertion at risers (e.g. pre-fabricated, service- head adapters)	Do the existing adjacent risers match what is shown on the documentation?	YES or NO		
Compare the documents to the jobsite	Does the facility construction type match what is shown on the records?	YES or NO		
	PHYSICAL VERIFICATION			
Look for signs of plastic insert in excavation	Have you determined that there are no signs of plastic inserts?	YES or NO		
Utilize existing fitting in excavation	If you gauged pressure using an existing fitting at the work site, did you detect pressure in the steel line?	YES or NO		





PGÆE

- Exponent has been engaged to establish checklists and procedures
 - Continuation of work associated with corrective actions from Carmel incident (e.g., gas carrier pipe checklist)
 - A procedure, including a process map and checklist, applicable to each design organization that defines design input verification requirements
 - A procedure, including a checklist, to be used by field personnel to verify system status and configuration prior to commencing any work, with safety implications
 - Completion and roll out expected September 2014
- Work to be stress tested by additional academic professors